



2.3-0-08

# BERN - AMATH '16

21st International Conference on Applied Mathematics (AMATH '16)


[Main Page](#)   [Topics](#)   [Location](#)   [Committee](#)   [Submit/Revise Paper](#)   [Indexes](#)   [Registration/Fees](#)   [Deadlines](#)   [Event Program](#)

## QUICK LINKS

[Paper Format](#)[How to Present](#)[Conference History](#)[Contact us](#)

## 21st International Conference on Applied Mathematics (AMATH '16)

Bern, Switzerland  
December 17-19, 2016



## LOGIN

Username

Password


[Register](#)[Forgot Password](#)

## BULLETIN BOARD

### Currently:

[The Conference Program](#) is online.[The Conference Guide](#) is online.

The paper submission deadline has expired. Please choose a future conference to submit your paper.

[Plenary Speakers](#)[WSEAS Main Site](#)[Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement](#)

## Call for Papers:

The organizing committee invites you to submit your papers and special session proposals to this conference. The benefits of attending are considerable for established academics and new scientists alike. Your paper will be reviewed by 2-4 experts of the field that may offer you valuable guidelines to improve your work. In case of acceptance, you will be given the opportunity to present your research and share it with scientists from all over the world. You will stay up to date with the latest advances in the field and you will have the chance to discuss possible future collaborations. Accepted papers will be promoted for publication in conference proceedings, or books or journals of an international publisher (WSEAS or third party). The papers will be distributed for publishing according to originality, novelty, technical soundness and other quality-related factors. The collaborating journals are indexed in various indexes, and the authors will be notified of the book/journal chosen for their paper before registration. Best papers will be published directly in ISI.

Apart from the academic benefits, one should also consider the rich social and cultural program that is always associated with WSEAS events. Attractive destinations and quality venues ensure an enjoyable stay to all participants. The multinational composition of the attendees often stimulates the initiation of fruitful conversations. The overall experience is always fulfilling.

Although this web site is designed to answer any questions you might have regarding the event, feel free to contact us if you have any enquiries.

## Keynote Lecture:

[Scheduling with Non-Availability Constraints: Offline and Semi-Online Scenarios](#)  
by [Prof. Imed Kacem](#), University of Lorraine, FRANCE.

**Plenary Lecture 1:**

Pauli Matrices and Quantum Information  
by Prof. Gregory L. Light, Providence College, USA.

**Plenary Lecture 2:**

From the Physical to the Decision Robustness.  
Application to the Design of Products  
by Prof. Yann Ledoux, University of Bordeaux,  
FRANCE.



Search...

[Home](#) ▶ [Journals](#) ▶ [International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Methods](#) ▶

A Mixed Integer Linear Programming for Maximizing Effectiveness of Case Assignment in Court of Justice Using Metaheuristic Optimization

## A Mixed Integer Linear Programming for Maximizing Effectiveness of Case Assignment in Court of Justice Using Metaheuristic Optimization

**AUTHOR(S):**

W. Wongsinlatam, K. Pimpila, A. Wongphat, S. Buchitchon

**TITLE**

A Mixed Integer Linear Programming for Maximizing Effectiveness of Case Assignment in Court of Justice Using Metaheuristic Optimization

**KEYWORDS**

Justice administration, Case assignment, Mixed integer programming, Assignment problem, Metaheuristic method, NP-hard problem

**ABSTRACT**

It is known that litigation is time consuming. However, justice delayed is justice denied. The effectiveness of judicial system depends on the efficient and timely manner of court case operation. Court case assignment in Thailand is currently operated in a random assignment norm. However, the increasing number of cases in the court of justice contributes as a building block to the reputation of time consuming operating system. Selecting the right cases to be assigned to the available efficient judge in the area is a vital need for judicial operation. Therefore, major challenges with respect to court case assignment are to determine the right case to be assigned to the right efficient judge in the field and to allocate appropriate time for delivering the court decision in order to maximize the effectiveness of the judicial system. In this article, the selected math model is a mixed integer linear programming that is developed to analyze and solve the problem. A metaheuristic algorithm with polynomially bounded computational complexity is proposed to address the issue. Furthermore, results of extensive computational experiments to empirically evaluate its effectiveness to find an optimal solution are reported.

**Cite this paper**

W. Wongsinlatam, K. Pimpila, A. Wongphat, S. Buchitchon. (2016) A Mixed Integer Linear Programming for Maximizing Effectiveness of Case Assignment in Court of Justice Using Metaheuristic Optimization. *International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Methods*, 1, 415-420






Search...


[Home](#) ▶ [Journals](#) ▶ [Mathematics](#) ▶ International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Methods

## International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Methods



### Articles

#### Articles

##### Stochastic Inverse Analysis of Fatigue Cracks Based on Linear Fracture Mechanics

Views: 26 hit(s) Pub. Date: 23 August 2017

AUTHOR(S): Zdenek Kala

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### A Study on Smooth Varieties with Differentially Simple Coordinate Rings

Views: 92 hit(s) Pub. Date: 20 July 2017

AUTHOR(S): Michael Gr. Voskoglou

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### The Reflected Fbm Process On A Convex Polyhedron As Limit For The X-model With On/off Sources Under Heavy-tr

Views: 103 hit(s) Pub. Date: 26 June 2017

AUTHOR(S): Rosario Delgado

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### A NSGA-II Approach to the Bi-Objective Multi-Vehicle Allocation of Customers to Distribution Centers

Views: 153 hit(s) Pub. Date: 27 April 2017

AUTHOR(S): Jafar Bagherinejad, Mina Dehghani

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### Least Squares Spectral Collocation Method for Solving Identification Problems in a Lake Pollution Model over a Comp

Views: 147 hit(s) Pub. Date: 24 April 2017

AUTHOR(S): Aboubakari Traore, Benjamin Mampassi

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### The Optimal Solution of a Production Model with Shortages and Raw Materials

Views: 211 hit(s) Pub. Date: 31 March 2017

AUTHOR(S): Noura Yassine, Abdullah Alsagheer

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### A Hybrid Method for Solving Some Particular Types of Fractional Differential Equations

Views: 347 hit(s) Pub. Date: 22 February 2017

AUTHOR(S): Fatma Ayaz, Onur Gorgulu

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### Elementary Proof of Riemann's Hypothesis by the Modified Chi-square Function

Views: 294 hit(s) Pub. Date: 22 February 2017

AUTHOR(S): Daniele Lattanzi

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### Application of Comparison Standards for Solving of Decision-Making Problems

Views: 293 hit(s) Pub. Date: 19 December 2016

AUTHOR(S): Vitaly O. Groppen

[Read more and download PDF](#)

##### Optimization Techniques for Virtual Machine Placement and Migration

Views: 328 hit(s) Pub. Date: 14 December 2016

AUTHOR(S): Satoru Ohta

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## Fifth Order Two Derivative Runge-Kutta Method with Reduced Function Evaluations for Solving IVPs

Views: 280 hit(s) Pub. Date: 14 December 2016

AUTHOR(S): N. Senu, N. A. Ahmad, N. Bachok

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## A Mixed Integer Linear Programming for Maximizing Effectiveness of Case Assignment in Court of Justice Using Met Optimization

Views: 327 hit(s) Pub. Date: 14 December 2016

AUTHOR(S): W. Wongsinlatam, K. Pimpila, A. Wongphat, S. Buchitchon

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## New Hybrid Adaptive Control for Function Projective Synchronization of Complex Dynamical Network with Mixed Tir and Coupling Delays

Views: 254 hit(s) Pub. Date: 14 December 2016

AUTHOR(S): Thongchai Botmart, Wajaree Weera

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## Two Modified Sparse Subspace Clustering

Views: 289 hit(s) Pub. Date: 14 December 2016

AUTHOR(S): Xiaoyan Wang, Caixia Zhang, Yanping Bai

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## A Comparison Between Finite Difference and Asymptotic Methods for Solving a Reaction-Diffusion Model in Ecology

Views: 288 hit(s) Pub. Date: 04 November 2016

AUTHOR(S): Shaker M. Rasheed, Faraj Omar

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## Existence and Uniqueness of the Non-Polynomial Spline Spaces with Maximal Smoothness

Views: 261 hit(s) Pub. Date: 17 October 2016

AUTHOR(S): Yu. K. Dem'yanovich

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## Integro-Differential Splines and Quadratic Formulae

Views: 290 hit(s) Pub. Date: 17 October 2016

AUTHOR(S): I. G. Burova, O. V. Rodnikova

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## A Comparison Study of Data Transformation Methods to Achieve Normality

Views: 291 hit(s) Pub. Date: 17 October 2016

AUTHOR(S): Ozer Ozdemir

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## Iteratively Computation the Nash Equilibrium Points in the Two-Player Positive Games

Views: 330 hit(s) Pub. Date: 07 October 2016

AUTHOR(S): Ivan G. Ivanov, Nikolay Netov, Vladislav Tanov

[Read more and download PDF](#)

## Linear Quadratic Regulator Problem for Positive Systems with Polyhedral Cone Constraints

Views: 306 hit(s) Pub. Date: 26 September 2016

AUTHOR(S): Snezhana Kostova

[Read more and download PDF](#)

1 2 3 4

## Bibliographic Information

## Bibliographic Information

ISSN: 2367-895X

DOI: to be announced

## Editorial Board

## Editor-in-Chief

Prof. Yingxu Wang, University of Calgary, Calgary, Canada

## Members of the Editorial Board

Prof. Lotfi Zadeh (University of Berkeley, USA)

Prof. Leon Chua (University of Berkeley, USA)

Prof. Constantine Dafermos (Brown University, USA)

Prof. Josef Sifakis (Turing Award 2007, CNRS/Verimag, France)

Prof. Wasfy B Mikhael (University of Central Florida Orlando, USA)

Prof. Martin Pelikan (UMSL, USA)

Prof. Patrick Wang (MIT, USA)

Prof. Sunil Das (University of Ottawa, Canada)

Prof. Panos Pardalos (University of Florida, USA)

Prof. Pierre Borne (Ecole Central de Lille, France)

Prof. Michio Sugeno (RIKEN Brain Science Institute (RIKEN BSI), Japan)

Prof. Demetri Terzopoulos (UCLA, USA)

Prof. Georgios B. Giannakis (University of Minnesota, USA)

Prof. Abraham Bers (MIT, USA)

Prof. Brian Barsky (University of Berkeley, USA)

Prof. Aggelos Katsaggelos (Northwestern University, USA)

Prof. Hisashi Kobayashi (Princeton University, USA)

Prof. Leonid Kazovsky (Stanford University, USA)

Prof. Narsingh Deo (University of Central Florida, USA)

Prof. Kamisetty Rao (Univ. of Texas at Arlington, USA)

Prof. Steven Collicott (Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA)

Prof. Nikolaos Paragios (Ecole Centrale Paris, France)

Prof. Nikolaos G. Bourbakis (Wright State University, USA)

Prof. Stamatios Kartalopoulos (University of Oklahoma, USA)

Prof. Irwin Sandberg (University of Texas at Austin, USA),

Prof. Michael Sebek (Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic)

Prof. Hashem Akbari (University of California, Berkeley, USA)

Prof. Yuriy S. Shmaliy, (The University of Guanajuato, Mexico)

Prof. Lei Xu (Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong)

Prof. Paul E. Dimotakis (California Institute of Technology Pasadena, USA)

Prof. Nikolaos D. Katopodes (University of Michigan, USA)

Prof. Bimal K. Bose (University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA)

Prof. Janusz Kacprzyk (Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland)

Prof. Sidney Burrus (Rice University, USA)

Prof. Biswa N. Datta (Northern Illinois University, USA)

Prof. Mihai Putinar (University of California at Santa Barbara, USA)

Prof. Włodzisław Duch (Nicolaus Copernicus University, Poland)

Prof. Tadeusz Kaczorek (Warsaw University of Technology, Poland)

Prof. Michael N. Katehakis (Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, USA)

Prof. Pan Agathoklis (Univ. of Victoria, Canada)

Prof. Philip Demokritou (Harvard University, USA)

Prof. Remi Leandre (Universite de Bourgogne, Dijon, France)

Prof. Dimitri Kazakos, (Texas Southern University, USA)

Prof. Ronald Yager (Iona College, USA)

# A Mixed Integer Linear Programming for Maximizing Effectiveness of Case Assignment in Court of Justice using Metaheuristic Optimization

W. WONGSINLATAM<sup>1</sup>, K. PIMPILA<sup>2</sup>, A. WONGPHAT<sup>3</sup>, AND S. BUCHITCHON<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering, Khon Kaen University,  
Nong Khai Campus, Nong Khai, 43000, THAILAND  
E-mail address : wullwon@kku.ac.th

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Education, Rajabhat Phetchabun University,  
Phetchabun, 67000, THAILAND  
E-mail address : Kajib.kp@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup> Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajabhat Maharakham University,  
Maharakham, 44000, THAILAND  
E-mail address : Akkharaphong1@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup> Faculty of Integrated Social Sciences, Khon Kaen University,  
Nong Khai Campus, Nong Khai, 43000, THAILAND  
\*Corresponding author E-mail address : suntareebu@kku.ac.th

**Abstract:** - It is known that litigation is time consuming. However, justice delayed is justice denied. The effectiveness of judicial system depends on the efficient and timely manner of court case operation. Court case assignment in Thailand is currently operated in a random assignment norm. However, the increasing number of cases in the court of justice contributes as a building block to the reputation of time consuming operating system. Selecting the right cases to be assigned to the available efficient judge in the area is a vital need for judicial operation. Therefore, major challenges with respect to court case assignment are to determine the right case to be assigned to the right efficient judge in the field and to allocate appropriate time for delivering the court decision in order to maximize the effectiveness of the judicial system. In this article, the selected math model is a mixed integer linear programming that is developed to analyze and solve the problem. A metaheuristic algorithm with polynomially bounded computational complexity is proposed to address the issue. Furthermore, results of extensive computational experiments to empirically evaluate its effectiveness to find an optimal solution are reported.

**Key-Words:** - Justice administration, Case assignment, Mixed integer programming, Assignment problem, Metaheuristic method, NP-hard problem

## 1 Introduction

Justice administration and case assignment are routine works in judicial system. However, the effectiveness of such work represents as a goal of judicial system which is to ensure the rule of law. The 'rule of law' means that the administration of justice and other exercise of public authority must be predictable and consistent [1].

It is known that litigation is time consuming. The effectiveness of judicial system depends on the efficient and timely manner of court case operation. Court case assignment in Thailand is currently operated in a random assignment norm.

However, the increasing number of cases in the court of justice contributes as a building block to the reputation of time consuming operating system. In the last decade, caseload in the court of Thailand has dramatically increased. This is due to the change in constitutional law which requires a full quorum of judges – generally a team of two - to be seated at a hearing.

Several proposals have been made to increase system efficiency including case management, court-annexed mediation, and digital audio testimony recording system [2]. Case assignment is one of the most significant tools in

case management to improve efficiency of judicial system. It is proposed in this paper that selecting the right cases to be assigned to the available efficient judge in the area is a vital need for judicial operation. Therefore, major challenges with respect to court case assignment are to determine the right case to be assigned to the right efficient judge in the field and to allocate appropriate time for delivering the court decision in order to maximize the effectiveness of the judicial system.

In mathematics, mathematical modelling transforms the real problem into the objective function with constrained or unconstrained optimization problems to find an exact solution or optimal solution. The mathematical modelling is being used in several fields of science and technology. The objective function is generally being formed in convex programming, nonlinear programming, and stochastic programming. The new trend of objective function is interested in forming the mixed integer linear programming. The mixed integer linear programming (MILP) is popular in the problem of assignment because the objective function of minimize or maximize optimization in MILP is more effective than the linear or nonlinear programming. MILP is a mixed of the several forms of programming which combined the binary integer programming with linear programming.

This research uses the metaheuristic method for assignment problem of the court case and coordination of justice team. The objective of assignment problem includes  $N$  cases that must be assigned to  $N$  teams where each team has the competence to do all cases. However, due to personal ability, case specification or other reasons, each team may spend different time for minimize or maximize with the objective of assignment problem.

In planning the working system in an organization, the coordination of workers and tasks is a major consideration that reflects the efficiency of the company. The Mixed integer programming (MIP) was used in the examination proctor assignment problem by Takeshi Koide where staffs are assigned for examinations as proctors in the regular examination period [3]. Julia Rieck et al. [4] applied the project scheduling problems subject to general temporal constraints using MILP model and domain-reducing processing techniques. The models used CPLEX 12.1 software to solve lower and upper bounds for resource requirements at particular

high quality calcium carbonate slurry, supplied to European paper manufacturers. Christodoulos A. Floudas [6] applied the scheduling of chemical processing systems based on MILP approaches which improved the computational efficiency in the solution of MILP problems. However, the use of MILP model in juridical problem is still limited.

Metaheuristic optimizations purpose is to find optimal solution for NP-hard problem which solves the local minima problem to find the global minima that solve several practical optimization problems. The algorithm of metaheuristic methods is made of a random number that moves in a wide feasible solution to solve several optimization problem. The metaheuristic method reduces the time in finding the exact solution or the good solution in the problem. Popular methods for assignment problem includes; Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) [7]; Tabu Search (TS) [8]; Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [9]; and Firefly Algorithm (FA) [10].

In this paper, the practical problem in juridical area is being used as assignment problem. Selecting the right cases to be assigned to the available efficient judge in the area is a vital need for judicial operation. Therefore, major challenges with respect to court case assignment are to determine the right case to be assigned to the right efficient judge in the field and to allocate appropriate time for delivering the court decision in order to maximize the effectiveness of the judicial system. The objective function is used the MILP optimization model of case analysis and examination. The goal of this proposed model is to maximize the overall effectiveness in identifying the perpetrator of the crime.

The rest of the paper proceeds as follows: Section 2 is an introduction to assignment problem of the case assignment in court of justice. The objective function uses the MILP optimization by using two metaheuristic optimizations. The computational results and discussion about the effectiveness of the proposed metaheuristic algorithms in finding an optimal are provided in Section 3. Finally, section 4 summarizes our conclusions.

## 2 The Case Assignment in the Court of Justice

### Assignment in the Court of Justice

#### 2.1 The definition of problem

points in time. David Bredström et al. [5] used MILP model for barge transport planning on the river Rhine. The planning solved the supply chain

**Nomenclature**

|          |                                                      |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------|
| $C$      | index set for cases assignment. $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ |
| $P$      | index set for justice panel. $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$    |
| $c$      | each case assignment. $c \in C$ .                    |
| $\mu_c$  | effective rate for case assignment.                  |
| $L_c$    | lower bounds on justice time.                        |
| $U_c$    | upper bounds on justice time.                        |
| $MT$     | maximum time for justice deadline.                   |
| $T_{cj}$ | assignment of case $c$ to the right justice.         |
| $X_{ij}$ | an binary decision variable.                         |

To define the problem, consider the following scenario: A set  $C = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $n$  cases has been filed to the court of justice where a set  $P = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  of  $m$  are available justice panels forming justice teams. If case  $c \in C$  is selected for a justice team, at least  $L_c$  time units must be spent to deliver the court decision. Also, the maximum amount of time to be spent on each case  $c \in C$  must not exceed  $U_c$  time units since spending more than this time would distort justice.

To simplify the presentation of our approach to develop and solve a mathematical model for this problem, the mathematical model that we have adopted assumes that:

- There are fixed numbers of justice panels in one court and one judge can only be in one panel.
- All of the justice panels have the same expertise.
- One case is being assigned to only one panel.
- No interdependencies exist between each case.
- Each case required difference time limit depending on the nature of the case.
- The minimum effective time for delivering a decision for one case is one day. Whereas the maximum effective time for delivering a decision is 90 days which represents the longest time period where a person can be held in prison on remand.

In this paper, the problem is one of finding the assignment of case  $c$  to the justice team  $j$ . The amount of time  $\sum_{c=1}^n T_{cj} \leq MT$  and the total effectiveness of all cases is maximized and the bound of time,  $L_c \leq T_{cj} \leq U_c$ .

**2.2 The formulation of problem**

The MILP model formulation for the above problem is as follows:

$$\text{maximize } Z = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_i \cdot T_{ij} \quad (1)$$

Constraints:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n T_{ij} \leq MT; \quad \forall j \leq m \quad (2)$$

$$T_{ij} - X_{ij} \cdot U_i \leq 0; \quad \forall i \leq n, j \leq m \quad (3)$$

$$T_{ij} - X_{ij} \cdot L_i \geq 0; \quad \forall i \leq n, j \leq m \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} \leq 1; \quad \forall i \leq n \quad (5)$$

$$X_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if case } i \text{ is selected to justice } j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$\forall i \leq n, j \leq m$

We assume that the effectiveness rate of cases administration are  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ , respectively. The objective function according equation (1) for the above problem is defined to the overall effectiveness of the judicial procedure. The constraint in equation (2) is the total time spent on a sequential of case does not exceed the maximum allowable time  $MT$  for each justice team. The constraint of upper bound  $U_i$  is the time spent on each selected case  $i$  is no more than upper bound in equation (3). The constraint of lower bound  $L_i$  is the time spent on each selected case  $i$  is no less than lower bound in equation (4) and define  $X_{ij}$  as a binary decision variable which let a value of 1 if case  $i$  is selected and assigned to justice team  $j$  and let a value of 0 if otherwise in constraint according equation (5) and (6). The total number of binary variables is  $nm$  and the total number of constraints is  $2nm + n + m$ .

**2.3 Metaheuristic method for problem**

The optimization method of maximizing effectiveness of case assignment in court of justice is used two technique metaheuristic method i.e. Firefly algorithm (FA) and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO). The FA technique of metaheuristic algorithms was firstly proposed by Yang in 2008 [11,12]. The FA algorithm is based on the flashing characteristics and behavior of fireflies. The FA is especially used as a metaheuristic technique for solving continuous optimization in

NP-hard problems. Algorithm 1 illustrates the FA pseudo code.

---

**Algorithm 1.** Pseudo code of the FA
 

---

```

1: Define the objective function  $f(x)$ ;
2: Initialize the firefly population  $x = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ ;
3: Define the light absorption coefficient  $\gamma$ ;
4: for each firefly  $x_i$  in the population do
5:   Initialize light intensity  $I_i$ ;
6: end
7: repeat
8:   for each firefly  $x_i$  in the swarm do
9:     for each other firefly  $x_j$  in the swarm do
10:      if  $I_i > I_j$  then
11:        Move firefly  $x_i$  toward  $x_j$ ;
12:      end
13:      Attractiveness varies
14:      with distance  $r$  via  $\exp(-\gamma r)$ ;
15:      Evaluate new solutions light and
16:      update intensity;
17:    end
18:  end
19:  Rank the fireflies and find the current best;
20: until termination criterion reached;
21: Rank the fireflies and return the best one;
  
```

---

The second metaheuristic method that is being used in this paper is ACO. Different from the FA, the ACO principles are based on the behaviour of ants [13,14]. Despite the fact that finding the shortest path is not the goal of ants, at the end their achievement is creating the shortest path between their nest and food source. During the commuting between their nest and food source, ants deposit a chemical substance called pheromone on the path. The algorithm of ACO is presented in Algorithm 2.

---

**Algorithm 2.** Pseudo code of ACO
 

---

```

1: Initialize pheromone trails;
2: while termination criterion
3:   for each ant do
4:     Construct a solution based on ant
5:     behavior and pheromone trial;
6:   end
7:   Update pheromone trails;
8:   Apply evaporation strategy;
9:   Apply reinforcement strategy;
10: end
11: Return best found solution;
  
```

---

In applying the method, the cases are assigned to justice panels considering the maximum time available. The cases are sorted into a list with the amount of time allocated for each case. Then the first case in the list is assigned to the justice panel. If the minimum time required for this case is greater than the total time available of the justice team, this case is skipped to the next case in the list. This process is carried out until the total time available for all justice panels is utilized or all cases have been assigned to justices.

### 2.3.1 The metaheuristic optimization to solve problem

The proposed metaheuristic optimization to solve the problem is described as follows:

*Input:* Index set of  $C$  and  $P$ . For each case  $c \in C$ , effectiveness rate is  $\mu_c$  and maximum time for justice team deadline is  $MT$ . Lower and upper bounds on decision times are  $L_c$  and  $U_c$ , respectively. Assumption, effectiveness rates for each cases are in a decreasing order  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$ .

*Step 1:* Set  $c = 1$ , and set  $Z_c^* = T_{ci}^* = 0$ ,  $MT_i = MT$ , for each  $c \in C$  and  $i \in P$ .

*Step 2:* Find justice  $i \in P$ , and  $MT_i$  if  $U_c \leq MT_i$  then  $T_{ci}^* = U_c$  and update  $MT_i = MT_i - T_{ci}^*$ . Next, go to step 4 and go to step 3 where otherwise.

*Step 3:* If  $L_c \leq MT_i$  then  $T_{ci}^* = MT_i$  and  $MT_i = MT_i - T_{ci}^*$  and go to step 4.

*Step 4:* If  $MT_z = 0$  for all  $z \in M$  or  $c = n$  then go to step 5. Otherwise, let  $c = c + 1$  and loop =  $c$  and return go to step 2.

*Step 5:* Calculate  $Z^* = \sum_{c=1}^n \mu_c T_{ci}^*$  and stop.

*Output:* The best solution of total effectiveness is  $Z^*$  and  $T_{ci}^*$  is the global maximize point of problem used by FA and ACO.

## 3 Results and Discussion

The computational and effectiveness results are generated using FA and ACO. For empirically evaluating the effectiveness and the efficiency, the workstation used for carrying out the result is equipped with 2.40 GHz processor, 4.00 GB of RAM, and the system used Microsoft 7. Matlab verison R2013b software was used to carry out simulation of the proposed algorithm. The number of cases for experimental parameters was 20, 30 and 50 and the number of justice team for experimental

parameters was 3, 4 and 5. The total time available for justice decision is  $MT = 90$ . Therefore, we generated parameters  $\mu_i, U_i$ , and  $L_i$  by following two problem with a uniform distribution e.t. in from  $P1: \mu_i = (1, 90), L_i = (1, 30), U_i = (1, 90)$  and

$P2: \mu_i = (1, 90), L_i = (1, 90), U_i = (1, 90)$ .

Problem hardness is likely to depend on the values and ranges of the parameters of the problem.

Table 1 shows the total available time (second) in finding an optimal solution and 20 problem instances were generated, which is sufficient to produce steady state empirical result for the measures of performance. Percent deviation is  $100 \times [\text{Optimal solution} - \text{FA or ACO solution}] / [\text{Optimal solution}]$  and average of percent deviation of 20 instances is  $\sum_{i=1}^{20} [\text{percent deviation}] / 20$ .

**Table 1** Comparing the results of FA and ACO for the problem

| P   | n  | m | FA            |        | ACO           |         |
|-----|----|---|---------------|--------|---------------|---------|
|     |    |   | Effectiveness |        | Effectiveness |         |
|     |    |   | Avg           | Time   | Avg           | Time    |
| P1: | 20 | 3 | 0.01          | 103.81 | 0.61          | 258.47  |
|     |    | 4 | 0.27          | 222.88 | 0.97          | 331.12  |
|     |    | 5 | 0.13          | 372.01 | 0.58          | 472.56  |
|     | 30 | 3 | 0.52          | 436.05 | 0.78          | 548.01  |
|     |    | 4 | 0.54          | 554.50 | 0.82          | 642.70  |
|     |    | 5 | 0.19          | 640.51 | 0.54          | 714.40  |
|     | 50 | 3 | 0.08          | 733.30 | 0.81          | 812.31  |
|     |    | 4 | 0.04          | 862.47 | 0.30          | 928.27  |
|     |    | 5 | 0.02          | 918.17 | 0.35          | 1005.35 |
| P2: | 20 | 3 | 0.05          | 115.97 | 0.63          | 218.56  |
|     |    | 4 | 0.02          | 275.46 | 0.34          | 379.35  |
|     |    | 5 | 0.37          | 393.27 | 0.58          | 495.32  |
|     | 30 | 3 | 0.29          | 458.45 | 0.52          | 558.54  |
|     |    | 4 | 0.74          | 516.37 | 0.82          | 696.18  |
|     |    | 5 | 0.19          | 647.64 | 0.24          | 724.64  |
|     | 50 | 3 | 0.13          | 752.46 | 0.48          | 858.51  |
|     |    | 4 | 0.14          | 853.54 | 0.62          | 952.67  |
|     |    | 5 | 0.02          | 976.25 | 0.30          | 1065.64 |

Two techniques of metaheuristic method are the valid and efficient methods in numeric programming and have been employed due to their strong convergence properties. Specific parameter settings for the algorithms are described in Table 2.

Experimental results show in Table 1 that time of optimal solutions and average of percent deviation for maximize effectiveness of FA are better than those of fast ACO.

In the results, it can be seen that when the number of cases was 20 and the number of justice team was 3 for experimental parameters, P1(20,3), the average of percent deviation of FA is 0.01 and total available time of FA is 103.81 which is better than the fast ACO. Furthermore, in the P2(50,5) the average of percent deviation of FA is 0.02 and total available time of FA is 976.25 which is also better than fast ACO for the measures of performance.

**Table 2** Parameter settings for the metaheuristic optimization

| Methods | Parameter name                     | Parameter value |
|---------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| FA      | Maximum number of iterations       | 1000            |
|         | Number of Fireflies                | 20              |
|         | Light absorption coefficient       | 1               |
|         | Attraction coefficient base value  | 2               |
|         | Mutation coefficient               | 0.2             |
|         | Mutation coefficient damping ratio | 0.98            |
|         | Maximum number of iterations       | 1000            |
|         | Number of ants                     | 50              |
| ACO     | Initial pheromone                  | 10              |
|         | Pheromone exponential weight       | 0.3             |
|         | Evaporation rate                   | 0.1             |
|         | Evaporation rate                   | 0.1             |

In this research, in order to maximize the overall effectiveness, a MILP model is used to analyse the problem of allocating optimal time for selected cases and the team of justice panels. The research shows that even the idealized simple version of the sequential problem in administrative law with multiple justice teams is NP-hard. The results of the empirical experiments strongly validate that FA is quite effective in finding an approximately optimal solution to the general problem.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, selecting the right cases to be assigned to the available efficient judge in the area is a vital need for judicial operation. The selected math model is a mixed integer linear programming that is developed to analyze and solve the problem. A metaheuristic algorithm with polynomially bounded computational complexity is proposed to address the issue. The results, simulation experiments are implemented on MILP assignment problem. Experimental results show that time of

optimal solutions and average of percent deviation for maximize of FA are better than those of fast ACO. The performance of FA is better than that of ACO for maximizing effectiveness of case assignment in court of justice. In the future work, it will be interesting and useful to conduct empirical and theoretical studies to develop appropriate measures and methodologies to determine the effective time of various types of cases and minimizing the total time of case assignment in court of justice. Moreover, it will be useful to improve metaheuristic optimization for the fast computing and defining parameter optimization for the assignment problem in legal fields.

#### Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to thank Faculty of Applied Science and Engineering and Faculty of Integrated Social Sciences Khon Kaen University, Nong Khai Campus, Faculty of Education, Rajabhat Phetchabun University, and Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajabhat Mahasarakham University, Thailand for funding this research.

#### References:

- [1] Stephen C. Lubkemann, Deborah H. Isser, and Philip A. Z. Banks III, Unintended Consequences: Constraint of Customary Justice in Post-Conflict Liberia, in Deborah H. Isser, ed. *Customary Justice and The Rule of Law in War-Torn Societies*, United States Inst. of Peace, 2011.
- [2] Thammanoon Phitayaporn, Strengthening the Independence and Efficiency of the Judiciary in Thailand, *Chulalongkorn University Law Journal*, 2004, pp.45-53.
- [3] Takeshi Koide, Mixed integer programming approach on examination proctor assignment problem, *Procedia Computer Science*, Vol. 60, 2015, pp. 818 – 823.
- [4] Julia Rieck, Jürgen Zimmermann, and Thorsten Gather, Mixed-integer linear programming for resource leveling problems, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 221, 2012, pp. 27–37.
- [5] David Bredström, Kjetil Haugen, Asmund Olstad, and Jan Novotný, A mixed integer linear programming model applied in horse  
*Journal of Annals of Operations Research*, Vol. 139, 2005, pp. 131–162.
- [7] Charbel Rizk and Jean-Paul Arnaout, ACO for the Surgical Cases Assignment Problem, *Journal of Medical Systems*, Vol. 36, 2012 pp.1891–1899.
- [8] Juan A. Díaz and Elena Fernández, A Tabu search heuristic for the generalized assignment problem, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 132, 2001, pp. 22–38.
- [9] Hongbo Liu, Ajith Abraham, and Jianying Zhang, A Particle Swarm Approach to Quadratic Assignment Problems, *Soft Computing in Industrial Applications*, Vol. 39, 2007, pp. 213–222.
- [10] Anil Goyal, Shiv Krishan Joshi, and Surbhi Gupta, A Heuristic Method of Cell to Switch Assignment in Mobile Communication Networks Using Firefly Algorithm, *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*, Vol. 4, No. 7, 2013, pp. 1971-1977.
- [11] X. S. Yang, *Nature-Inspired Metaheuristic Algorithms*, Luniver Press, 2008.
- [12] X. S. Yang, Firefly algorithm, stochastic test functions and design optimisation, *International Journal of Bio-Inspired Computation*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 2010, pp. 78-84.
- [13] M. Dorigo and T. Stützle. *Ant Colony Optimization*. MIT Press, 2004.
- [14] Lin-yu Tseng, and Shyi-Ching liang, A Hybrid Metaheuristic for the Quadratic Assignment Problem, *International Journal of Computational Optimization and Applications*, Vol. 34, pp. 85–113, 2006.