


Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications


COUNTRY South Korea  Universities and research institutions in South Korea	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY Mathematics Analysis Applied Mathematics Control and Optimization Numerical Analysis	PUBLISHER Kyungnam University Press	H-INDEX 6
PUBLICATION TYPE Journals	ISSN 12291595, 24660973	COVERAGE 2017-2020	INFORMATION Homepage How to publish in this journal jongkyuk@kyungnam.ac.kr

SCOPE

The international mathematical journal NFAA will publish carefully selected original research papers on nonlinear functional analysis and applications, that is, ordinary differential equations, all kinds of partial differential equations, functional differential equations, integrodifferential equations, control theory, approximation theory, optimal control, optimization theory, numerical analysis, variational inequality, asymptotic behavior, fixed point theory, dynamic systems and complementarity problems. Papers for publication will be communicated and recommended by the members of the Editorial Board.

 Join the conversation about this journal





Gh. Mostafa 2 years ago
necessary conditions
reply

divya 2 years ago
Couldn't access my login. Due to some technical error. Kindly rectify it soon.
reply

Melanie Ortiz 2 years ago
Dear user,
thank you for contacting us.
Sorry to tell you that SCImago Journal & Country Rank is not a journal. SJR is a portal with scientometric indicators of journals indexed in Elsevier/Scopus.
Unfortunately, we cannot help you with your request, we suggest you to visit the journal's homepage or contact the journal's editorial staff, so they could inform you more deeply.
You can see the updated journal's information just above.
Best Regards, SCImago Team

Pitchu 3 years ago
This is the web add for this journal
<http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa/index.php/NFAA>
reply

uzzwal kumar mallick 3 years ago
web address of this journal
reply

Elena Corera 3 years ago
Dear Uzzwal, probably the journal does not have English translation and I do not locate the url either. I am sorry. Best Regards, SCImago Team



Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications

Volume 26

Number 4

2021

Editor-in-Chief

Jong Kyu Kim, Kyungnam University, Korea

Print ISSN 1229-1595 / Online ISSN 2466-0973

Published Online: 29 November 2021



Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications (NFAA)



ISSN 1229-1595 (Print)
ISSN 2466-0973 (Online)

HOME ABOUT LOG IN REGISTER SEARCH CURRENT ARCHIVES AIM AND SCOPE EDITORIAL BOARD

Home > Archives > Volume 26, Number 4, December 2021

Volume 26, Number 4, December 2021

Table of Contents

Articles

COMPUTATION OF DIVERGENCES AND MEDIANS IN SECOND ORDER CONES	PDF
Sangho Kum	649-662
ON GENERALIZED (g, B) -NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS IN BANACH SPACES WITH APPLICATIONS	PDF
F. Akutsah, O. K. Narain	663-684
COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS UNDER RATIONAL CONTRACTIONS IN COMPLEX VALUED EXTENDED b -METRIC SPACES	PDF
V. Vairaperumal, J. Carmel Pushpa Raj, J. Maria Joseph, M. Marudai	685-700
ESSENTIAL SPECTRUM OF A WEIGHTED GEOMETRIC REALIZATION	PDF
Khalid Hatim, Azeddine Baalal	701-716
A RANDOM GENERALIZED NONLINEAR IMPLICIT VARIATIONAL-LIKE INCLUSION WITH RANDOM FUZZY MAPPINGS	PDF
F. A. Khan, A. S. Aljohani, M. G. Alshehri, J. Ali	717-731
A NOTE ON DEGENERATE LAH-BELL POLYNOMIALS ARISING FROM DERIVATIVES	PDF
Xiangfan Piao, Yunjae Kim, Jongkyum Kwon	733-747
CONVERGENCE AND STABILITY OF ITERATIVE ALGORITHM OF SYSTEM OF GENERALIZED IMPLICIT VARIATIONAL-LIKE INCLUSION PROBLEMS USING (B, ϕ, η) -RELAXED COCOERCIVITY	PDF
Jong Kyu Kim, Mohd Iqbal Bhat, Sumeera Shafi	749-780
ON OPTIMAL SOLUTIONS OF WELL-POSED PROBLEMS AND VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES	PDF
Tirth Ram, Jong Kyu Kim, Ravdeep Kour	781-792
EXISTENCE AND STABILITY RESULTS OF GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL INTEGRODIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS	PDF
C. Kausika, K. Balachandran, N. Annappoorani, J. K. Kim	793-809
A NEW CLASS OF NONLINEAR CONJUGATE GRADIENT METHOD FOR UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION MODELS AND ITS APPLICATION IN PORTFOLIO SELECTION	PDF
Maulana Malik, Ibrahim Mohammed Sulaiman, Mustafa Mamat, Siti Sabariah Abas, . Sukono	811-837
A THREE-TERM INERTIAL DERIVATIVE-FREE PROJECTION METHOD FOR CONVEX CONSTRAINED MONOTONE EQUATIONS	PDF
Supansa Noinakorn, Abdurakim Hassan Ibrahim, Auwal Bala Abubakar, Nuttapol Pakkaranang	839-853
ON INEQUALITIES OF GENERALIZED TURAN-TYPE INEQUALITIES OF POLYNOMIALS	PDF
Thangjam Birkramjit Singh, Kshetrimayum Krishnadas, Barchand Chanam	855-868

ISSN: 1229-1595 (Print), 2466-0973 (Online)

USER

Username
Password
☐ Remember me

NOTIFICATIONS

- [View](#)
- [Subscribe / Unsubscribe](#)

JOURNAL CONTENT

Search
All

Browse

- [By Issue](#)
- [By Author](#)
- [By Title](#)

FONT SIZE

INFORMATION

- [For Readers](#)
- [For Authors](#)
- [For Librarians](#)

Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Applications
Vol. 26, No. 4 (2021), pp. 839-853

ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online)

<https://doi.org/10.22771/nfaa.2021.26.04.11>

<http://nfaa.kyungnam.ac.kr/journal-nfaa>

Copyright © 2021 Kyungnam University Press



A THREE-TERM INERTIAL DERIVATIVE-FREE PROJECTION METHOD FOR CONVEX CONSTRAINED MONOTONE EQUATIONS

Supansa Noinakorn¹, Abdulkarim Hassan Ibrahim², Auwal Bala
Abubakar^{3,4} and Nuttapol Pakkaranang¹

¹Mathematics and Computing Science Program, Faculty of Science and Technology
Phetchabun Rajabhat University, Phetchabun 67000, Thailand
e-mail: supansa.noi@pcru.ac.th

²KMUTTFixed Point Research Laboratory, Room SCL 802 Fixed Point Laboratory,
Science Laboratory Building
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, King Mongkut's University of Technology
Thonburi (KMUTT)
126 Pracha-Uthit Road, Bang Mod, Thung Khru, Bangkok 10140, Thailand
e-mail: ibrahimkarym@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Physical Sciences
Bayero University Kano, Kano, Nigeria.
e-mail: ababubakar.mth@buk.edu.ng

⁴Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences
University, Ga-Rankuwa, Pretoria, Medunsa-0204, South Africa.
e-mail: ababubakar.mth@buk.edu.ng

Abstract. Let $\mathfrak{R}^n$ be an Euclidean space and $g : \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$ be a monotone and continuous mapping. Suppose the convex constrained nonlinear monotone equation problem $x \in \mathfrak{C}$ s.t $g(x) = 0$ has a solution. In this paper, we construct an inertial-type algorithm based on the three-term derivative-free projection method (TTMDY) for convex constrained monotone nonlinear equations. Under some standard assumptions, we establish its global convergence to a solution of the convex constrained nonlinear monotone equation. Furthermore, the proposed algorithm converges much faster than the existing non-inertial algorithm (TTMDY) for convex constrained monotone equations.

⁰Received May 6, 2021. Revised October 20, 2021. Accepted November 2, 2021.

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 90C06; 90C56; 65K05; 65K10.

⁰Keywords: Iterative method, inertial algorithm, nonlinear equations, derivative-free method, projection method.

⁰Corresponding author: N. Pakkaranang(nuttapol.pak@pcru.ac.th).

1. INTRODUCTION

Projection method is one of the most common and efficient methods for solving large-scale nonlinear equations. In this paper, our interest is on the monotone nonlinear equations with convex constraints, that is

$$\text{find } x \in \mathfrak{C} \text{ s.t. } g(x) = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $g : \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$ is assumed to be a monotone and continuous operator, while $\mathfrak{C}$ is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of $\mathfrak{R}^n$.

Chemical equilibrium systems [31], economic equilibrium problems [13], and power flow systems [34] are only a few examples of practical problems that can be converted into convex constraint nonlinear monotone equations (1.1). This is why there have been keen interest in solving the problem (1.1) [35]. In solving unconstrained optimization problem [1, 2], the three-term conjugate gradient method is commonly used because of its descent property, computational efficiency, and stable convergence. Many researchers are now turning to the frameworks of three-term conjugate gradient methods to solve nonlinear equations (1.1). For example, based on the projection technique [33], Cao [12] introduced a three-term derivative-free method for large-scale nonlinear equations, which is based on the structures of the famous Dai-Yuan (DY) conjugate gradient method, and the three-term conjugate gradient method of Gao and He [15]. This method inherits the stability of the DY method, and greatly improves its computing performance. For more algorithms for solving (1.1), see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28].

In recent years, it has always been of great interest to speed up the convergence of iterative algorithms. The addition of inertial terms to algorithms is one of the most recent methods of speeding up convergence. The use of "inertial" term can be traced back to Polyak [32], who studied the following second-order system of differential equations:

$$v'' + \gamma v' + \nabla f(v) = 0, \quad \gamma > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

in the context of optimization. In two-dimensional case, system (1.2) describes, roughly, the motion of a heavy ball that rolls under its own inertial over the graph of f until it is impeded by friction. For results concerning inertial algorithms, see [10, 11, 29, 30].

Our interest in this paper is to introduce an inertial algorithm for finding the solutions of the convex constraint nonlinear monotone equation. The proposed method combines the inertial term with the three-term derivative-free projection method (TTMDY) for convex constrained monotone nonlinear

equations [12]. Our algorithm converges much faster than the existing non-inertial algorithm (TTMDY). We give numerical examples to support this claim. Throughout this paper, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of a vector.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND ALGORITHM

In the sequel, we first give some well-known concepts that will be used.

Definition 2.1. A mapping $g : \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$ is called

(a) monotone on $\mathfrak{R}^n$ if

$$(g(x) - g(y))^T(x - y) \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^n.$$

(b) L -Lipschitz continuous on $\mathfrak{R}^n$ if there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{R}^n.$$

To describe our algorithm, we also use the projection operator $P_{\mathfrak{C}}$ which is defined as follows:

For every element $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, there exists a unique nearest point in $\mathfrak{C}$, denoted by $P_{\mathfrak{C}}(x)$, such that

$$P_{\mathfrak{C}}(x) = \arg \min_{y \in \mathfrak{C}} \|x - y\|.$$

$P_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is called the orthogonal (or metric) projection of $\mathfrak{R}^n$ onto $\mathfrak{C}$. The metric projection $P_{\mathfrak{C}}$ has the following basic property:

$$\|P_{\mathfrak{C}}(x) - y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - \|x - P_{\mathfrak{C}}(x)\|^2, \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{R}^n, \forall y \in \mathfrak{C}. \quad (2.1)$$

Lemma 2.2. [28] *Let $\mathfrak{R}^n$ be an Euclidean space. Then the following inequality hold:*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2y^T(x + y).$$

Lemma 2.3. [28] *Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following relation*

$$x_{k+1} \leq x_k + y_k,$$

where $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} y_k < \infty$, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k$ exists.

Lemma 2.4. [28] *A point q is in the solution set $\mathfrak{C}^*$ of (1.1) if and only if $q = P_{\mathfrak{C}}(q - \rho u)$ for some $u = g(q)$ and $\rho > 0$.*

In the following, based on the TTMDY method [12], we present the inertial TTMDY method. The specific steps of our proposed method are presented in the algorithm below.

Algorithm A: Inertial-TTMDY method (ITTMDY)

- (S.0) Take the positive constants: $Tol > 0$, $r > 0$, $\rho \in (0, 1)$, $\zeta > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $\psi_k \in [0, 1)$. Select arbitrary points x_{-1} , $x_0 \in \mathfrak{C}$. Set $k := 0$.
- (S.1) Set $c_k = x_k + \psi_k(x_k - x_{k-1})$.
- (S.2) Compute $g(c_k)$. If $\|g(c_k)\| \leq Tol$, stop. Otherwise, generate the search direction d_k by

$$d_k := \begin{cases} -g(c_k) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ -g(c_k) + \beta_k^{ImDY} d_{k-1} + \vartheta_k y_{k-1} & \text{if } k > 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k^{ImDY} &:= \frac{\|g(c_k)\|^2}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}}, \quad \vartheta_k := \frac{g(c_k)^T d_{k-1}}{d_{k-1}^T w_{k-1}}, \\ y_{k-1} &:= g(c_k) - g(c_{k-1}), \\ w_{k-1} &:= y_{k-1} + t_{k-1} d_{k-1}, \quad t_{k-1} := r \frac{\|g(c_{k-1})\|}{\|d_{k-1}\|} + \max \left\{ 0, -\frac{d_{k-1}^T y_{k-1}}{\|d_{k-1}\|^2} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

- (S.3) Determine the step-size $\varepsilon_k = \zeta \rho^i$ where i is the least nonnegative integer satisfying

$$-g(c_k + \varepsilon_k d_k)^T d_k \geq \sigma \varepsilon_k \|d_k\|^2. \quad (2.4)$$

- (S.4) Compute $v_k = c_k + \varepsilon_k d_k$, where v_k is a trial point.
- (S.5) If $v_k \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\|g(v_k)\| \leq Tol$, stop. Otherwise, compute the next iterate by

$$x_{k+1} = P_{\mathfrak{C}}[c_k - \lambda_k g(v_k)], \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\lambda_k := \frac{g(v_k)^T (c_k - v_k)}{\|g(v_k)\|^2}.$$

- (S.6) Set $k \leftarrow k + 1$, and return to (S.1).

Next, we give the assumptions that we will use throughout this paper.

Assumption 2.5. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (a) The feasible set $\mathfrak{C}$ is a nonempty, closed and convex subset of the Euclidean space $\mathfrak{R}^n$.

- (b) $g : \mathfrak{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^n$ is monotone and L -Lipschitz continuous.
- (c) The solution set $\mathfrak{C}^*$ of (1.1) is nonempty.

Assumption 2.6. Let $\{\psi_k\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real number satisfying the condition:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| < \infty.$$

3. MAIN RESULT

In this section, we will analyze the convergence of Algorithm A. We start with the following lemma which plays an important role in proving the convergence of the proposed algorithm.

Lemma 3.1. Let d_k be generated by Algorithm A. Then, d_k always satisfies the sufficient descent condition, that is,

$$g(c_k)^T d_k = -p \|g(c_k)\|^2, p > 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that in [12]. Therefore we omit it. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{v_k\}$ be generated by Algorithm A. If $q \in \mathfrak{C}^*$, then under Assumption 2.5 and 2.6, it holds that

$$\|x_{k+1} - q\|^2 \leq \|c_k - q\|^2 - \frac{\sigma^2 \|c_k - v_k\|^4}{\|g(v_k)\|^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, the sequence $\{x_k\}$ is bounded and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|c_k - v_k\|^4 < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. By the monotonicity of the mapping g , we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(v_k)^T (c_k - q) &= g(v_k)^T (c_k - v_k) + g(v_k)^T (v_k - q) \\ &\geq g(v_k)^T (c_k - v_k) + g(q)^T (v_k - q) \\ &= g(v_k)^T (c_k - v_k) \\ &\geq \sigma \|c_k - v_k\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

From the nonexpansiveness of the projection operator and (3.4), it holds that for any $q \in \mathfrak{C}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{k+1} - q\|^2 &= \|P_{\mathfrak{C}}(c_k + \lambda_k g(v_k)) - q\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|c_k - \lambda_k g(v_k) - q\|^2 \\
 &= \|c_k - q\|^2 - 2\lambda_k g(v_k)^T(c_k - q) + \lambda_k^2 \|g(v_k)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|c_k - q\|^2 - 2\lambda_k g(v_k)^T(c_k - v_k) + \lambda_k^2 \|g(v_k)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \|c_k - q\|^2 - \frac{g(v_k)^T(c_k - v_k)^2}{\|g(v_k)\|^2} \\
 &\leq \|c_k - q\|^2 - \frac{\sigma^2 \|c_k - v_k\|^4}{\|g(v_k)\|^2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From (3.5), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{k+1} - q\| &\leq \|c_k - q\| \\
 &= \|x_k + \psi_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) - q\| \\
 &\leq \|x_k - q\| + \psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Noting that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| < \infty$, we deduce that $\{x_k - q\}$ is bounded by a positive number say M_0 . Thus, for all k , we have that

$$\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| \leq 2M_0.$$

Using the above bounds and the definition of c_k , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|c_k - q\|^2 &= \|x_k + \psi_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) - q\|^2 \\
 &= \|x_k - q\|^2 + 2\psi_k(x_k - x_{k-1})^T(x_k + \psi_k(x_k - x_{k-1}) - q) \\
 &\leq \|x_k - q\|^2 + 2\psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|(\|x_k - q\| + \psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|) \\
 &\leq \|x_k - q\|^2 + 2M\psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| + 4M_0\psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| \\
 &= \|x_k - q\|^2 + 6M_0\psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Combining (3.5) with (3.7), we have

$$\|x_{k+1} - q\|^2 \leq \|x_k - q\|^2 + 6M_0\psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| - \sigma^2 \|c_k - v_k\|^4. \tag{3.8}$$

Thus, we have

$$\sigma^2 \|c_k - v_k\|^4 \leq \|x_k - q\|^2 + 6M_0\psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| - \|x_{k+1} - q\|^2. \tag{3.9}$$

Adding (3.9) for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|c_k - v_k\|^4 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\|x_k - q\|^2 + 6M_0\psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| - \|x_{k+1} - q\|^2).$$

Now, since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\|x_k - q\|^2 - \|x_{k+1} - q\|^2) = \|x_0 - q\|^2 < \infty$$

and

$$\infty \psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| < \infty,$$

it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|c_k - v_k\|^4 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\|x_k - q\|^2 - \|x_{k+1} - q\|^2 + 6M_0 \psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|c_k - v_k\| = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

□

Remark 3.3. By the definition of $\{v_k\}$ and (3.3), we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k \|d_k\| = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Lemma 3.4. Suppose Assumptions 2.5-2.6 hold and the sequence $\{x_k\}$ and $\{c_k\}$ are generated by Algorithm A. Then we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|c_k - x_{k+1}\| = 0.$$

Proof. We know that

$$\|x_k - c_k\| = \|x_k - (x_k + \psi_k(x_k - x_{k-1}))\| = \psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - c_k\| = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|x_k - v_k\| = \|x_k - c_k + c_k - v_k\| \leq \|x_k - c_k\| + \|c_k - v_k\|$$

Thus, by (3.10) and (3.12), it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - v_k\| = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

From the nonexpansiveness of the projection operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| &= \|P_{\mathfrak{C}}[c_k - \lambda_k g(v_k)] - x_k\| \\
 &\leq \|c_k - \lambda_k g(v_k) - x_k\| \\
 &\leq \|c_k - x_k\| + \|\lambda_k g(v_k)\| \\
 &= \|c_k - x_k\| + \|\lambda_k g(v_k)\| \\
 &= \|c_k - x_k\| + \left\| \frac{g(v_k)^T (c_k - v_k)}{\|g(v_k)\|^2} g(v_k) \right\| \\
 &\leq \|c_k - x_k\| + \|c_k - v_k\|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Thus, it is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{k+1} - c_k\| &= \|x_{k+1} - (x_k + \psi_k(x_k - x_{k-1}))\| \\
 &\leq \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + \psi_k \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we gets the desired result. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence generated by Algorithm A. Then, under Assumption 2.5 and 2.6, we have that $\{x_k\}$ converges to an element of $\mathfrak{C}^*$.*

Proof. By the boundedness of $\{x_k\}$, it implies that there exists a subsequence $\{x_{k_j}\}$ of $\{x_k\}$ such that $\{x_{k_j}\}$ converges to some point $\bar{q}$. Also, we have that

$$\|c_{k_j} - x_{k_j}\| = \theta_{k_j} \|x_{k_j} - x_{k_j-1}\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.16}$$

Claim: $\bar{q} \in \mathfrak{C}^*$. Suppose by contradiction, $\bar{q} \notin \mathfrak{C}^*$. Then by Lemma 3.4 and (3.16)

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_j+1} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} P_{\mathfrak{C}}(c_{k_j} - \lambda_{k_j} g(v_{k_j})) = \bar{q}. \tag{3.17}$$

Without loss of generality, suppose $\lambda_{k_j} \rightarrow \lambda^*$ and $g(v_{k_j}) \rightarrow g(z^*)$. Then since g is continuous, we have $g(z^*) = g(\bar{q})$. Therefore, from (3.17)

$$P_{\mathfrak{C}}(\bar{q} - \lambda^* g(z^*)) = \bar{q}.$$

It then follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\bar{q} \in \mathfrak{C}^*$, which is a contraction. Hence, our claim holds. Replacing q with $\bar{q}$ in (3.6), it is easy to see that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - \bar{q}\|$ exists by Lemma 2.3. Since $\bar{q}$ is an accumulation point of $\{x_k\}$, we obtain that $\{x_k\}$ converges to $\bar{q}$. $\square$

4. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENT

In this section, we evaluate the efficiency of the proposed algorithm, which we refer to as ITTMDY on some test problems, taking into account the number of iterations (NOI), the number of function evaluations (NFE), and the time it takes to achieve convergence (CPU TIME). We also compare ITTMDY with its non-inertial counterpart, the TTMDY algorithm, which was proposed in [12].

Matlab R2019b was used to code all of the algorithms. For the experiments, we consider the following factors:

- Number of problems: Ten test problems.
- Five dimensions (DIM): 1000, 5000, 10000, 50000, 100000.
- Seven Initial points (INP):

TABLE 1. Initial points for the implementation of the algorithms

Starting Points		
ITTMDY	TTMDY	
$x_{-1}^1 = (0.1, 0.1, \dots, 0.1)^T$	$x_0^1 = x_{-1}^1$	x_0^1
$x_{-1}^2 = (0.2, 0.2, \dots, 0.2)^T$	$x_0^2 = x_{-1}^2$	x_0^2
$x_{-1}^3 = (0.5, 0.5, \dots, 0.5)^T$	$x_0^3 = x_{-1}^3$	x_0^3
$x_{-1}^4 = (1.2, 1.2, \dots, 1.2)^T$	$x_0^4 = x_{-1}^4$	x_0^4
$x_{-1}^5 = (1.5, 1.5, \dots, 1.5)^T$	$x_0^5 = x_{-1}^5$	x_0^5
$x_{-1}^6 = (2, 2, \dots, 2)^T$	$x_0^6 = x_{-1}^6$	x_0^6
$x_{-1}^7 = \text{rand}(n, 1)$	$x_0^7 = x_{-1}^7$	x_0^7

- Algorithm implementation parameters: we select $\zeta = 1$, $\rho = 0.7$, $r = 1.8$, $\sigma = 10^{-3}$, $\psi = 0.9$. As for TTMDY, the parameters are selected as in [12].
- Stopping condition: Iterations are stopped when $\|g(c_k)\| \leq 10^{-6}$ and/or the number of iterations exceed 1000 without reaching a solution.

The test problems considered can be found in [17]

Problem 1: Modified exponential function:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(x) &= e^{x_1} - 1 \\
 g_i(x) &= e^{x_i} + x_i - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\
 \mathfrak{C} &= \mathfrak{R}_+^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 2: Logarithmic function:

$$g_i(x_i) = \log(x_i + 1) - \frac{x_i}{n}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{R}_+^n.$$

Problem 3: Nonsmooth function:

$$g_i(x) = 2x_i - \sin(|x_i|), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n : x \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq n \right\}.$$

Problem 4:

$$g_i(x) = \min(\min(|x_i|, x_i^2), \max(|x_i|, x_i^3)) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{R}_+^n.$$

Problem 5: Strictly convex function I:

$$g_i(x) = e^{x_i} - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{R}_+^n.$$

Problem 6: Strictly convex function II;

$$g_i(x) = \left(\frac{i}{n}\right) e^{x_i} - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{R}_+^n.$$

Problem 7: Tridiagonal exponential function:

$$g_1(x) = x_1 - e^{\cos(l(x_1+x_2))}$$

$$g_i(x) = x_i - e^{\cos(l(x_{i-1}+x_i+x_{i+1}))}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1,$$

$$g_n(x) = x_n - e^{\cos(l(x_{n-1}+x_n))},$$

$$l = \frac{1}{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{R}_+^n.$$

Problem 8: Nonsmooth function II:

$$g_i(x) = x_i - \sin(|x_i - 1|), \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\mathfrak{C} = \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n : x \geq -1, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq n \right\}.$$

Problem 9: Trig-Exp Function:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= 3x_1^3 + 2x_2 - 5 + \sin(x_1 - x_2)\sin(x_1 + x_2), \\ g_i(x) &= 3x_i^3 + 2x_{i+1} - 5 + \sin(x_i - x_{i+1})\sin(x_i + x_{i+1}) \\ &\quad + 4x_i - x_{i-1}e^{(x_{i-1}-x_i)} - 3, \\ &\quad \text{for } 1 < i < n, \\ g_n(x) &= 4x_n - x_{n-1}e^{(x_{n-1}-x_n)} - 3, \\ \mathfrak{C} &= \mathfrak{R}_+^n. \end{aligned}$$

Problem 10: Penalty function I:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2, \quad c = 10^{-5}, \\ g_i(x) &= 2c(x_i - 1) + 4(\xi_i - 0.25)x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ \mathfrak{C} &= \mathfrak{R}_+^n. \end{aligned}$$

To depict the efficiency of the ITTMDY algorithm, we plot three graphs each corresponding to NOI, NFE and CPU TIME, respectively, with the aid of the Dolan and Moré [14] performance profiles. From the profile curve, the algorithm that top the curve has the best performance. From Figures 1, 2 and 3, we can notice that the inertial algorithm has lesser NOI and NFE in 85%, less CPU time in 75% of the problems, respectively. It can also be observed that the best performing algorithm is ITTMDY as it top all the curves. Hence, we can say that the ITTMDY algorithm is more efficient than TTMDY based on NOI, NFE and CPU TIME.




FIGURE 1. Performance profiles for the number of iterations




FIGURE 2. Performance profiles for the number of function evaluations




FIGURE 3. Performance profiles for the CPU time

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, a new derivative-free iterative algorithm for solving nonlinear monotone operator equations with convex constraints is proposed. The proposed method combines the inertial extrapolation term with a derivative-free method. Independent of the line search, the search direction of the new method is descent. Under some standard assumptions, the sequence generated by the new method converges globally. Finally, the efficiency of the new method was given through numerical experiments on some benchmark test problems. The results revealed that the inertial algorithm is more efficient than the existing non inertial.

Acknowledgments: The first and fourth authors would like to thank Phetchabun Rajabhat University. The third author acknowledge with thanks, the Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics at the Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. B. Abubakar, P. Kumam, M. Malik, P. Chaipunya, and A. H. Ibrahim, *A hybrid fr-dy conjugate gradient algorithm for unconstrained optimization with application in portfolio selection*, AIMS Mathematics, **6**(6) (2021), 6506–6527.
- [2] A. B. Abubakar, P. Kumam, M. Malik, and A. H. Ibrahim, *A hybrid conjugate gradient based approach for solving unconstrained optimization and motion control problems*, Math. Comput. Simul., (2021), doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2021.05.038.
- [3] A. B. Abubakar, P. Kumam, A. H. Ibrahim, and J. Rilwan, *Derivative-free hs-dy-type method for solving nonlinear equations and image restoration*, Heliyon, **6**(11):e05400, (2020), doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05400.
- [4] A. B. Abubakar, K. Muangchoo, A. H. Ibrahim, A. B. Muhammad, L. O. Jolaoso, and K. O. Aremu, *A new three-term hestenes-stiefel type method for nonlinear monotone operator equations and image restoration*, IEEE Access, **9** (2021), 18262–18277.
- [5] A. B. Abubakar, K. Muangchoo, A. H. Ibrahim, J. Abubakar, and S. A. Rano, *Fr-type algorithm for finding approximate solutions to nonlinear monotone operator equations*, Arabian J. Math., **10** (2021). 261-270.
- [6] A. B. Abubakar, P. Kumam, H. Mohammad, and A. H. Ibrahim, *Prp-like algorithm for monotone operator equations*, Japan J. Indus. Appl. Math., **38** (2021), 805-822.
- [7] A. B. Abubakar, K. Muangchoo, A. H. Ibrahim, S. E. Fadugba, K. O. Aremu, and L. O. Jolaoso, *A modified scaled spectral- conjugate gradient-based algorithm for solving monotone operator equations*, J. Math., **2021**, (2021), doi.org/10.1155/2021/5549878.
- [8] A. B. Abubakar, P. Kumam, and A. H. Ibrahim, *Inertial derivative-free projection method for nonlinear monotone operator equations with convex constraints*, IEEE Access, **9** (2021). 92157-92167.
- [9] A. B. Abubakar, P. Kumam, A. H. Ibrahim, P. Chaipunya, and S. A. Rano, *New hybrid three-term spectral-conjugate gradient method for finding solutions of nonlinear monotone operator equations with applications*, Math. Comput. Simul., (2021). doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2021.07.005.
- [10] J. Abubakar, P. Kumam, A. H. Ibrahim, and A. Padcharoen, *Relaxed inertial tseng's type method for solving the inclusion problem with application to image restoration*, MDPI Mathematics, **8**(5) (2020),818. doi.org/10.1016/j.matcom.2021.07.005.
- [11] J. Abubakar, P. Kumam, H.ur Rehman and A. H. Ibrahim, *Inertial iterative schemes with variable step sizes for variational inequality problem involving pseudomonotone operator*, MDPI Mathematics, **8**(4) (2020), 609. doi.org/10.3390/math8040609.
- [12] H. Cao, *A three-term derivative-free projection method for convex constrained monotone equations*, ScienceAsia, **47**(2)(2021), 235-240.
- [13] S.P. Dirkse and M. C. Ferris, *Mcplib: A collection of nonlinear mixed complementarity problems*, Optim. Meth. Software, **5**(4) (1995), 319–345.
- [14] E. D. Dolan and J. J. Moré, *Benchmarking optimization software with performance profiles*. Math. Programming, **91**(2) (2002), 201–213.
- [15] P. Gao and C. He, *An efficient three-term conjugate gradient method for nonlinear monotone equations with convex constraints*, Calcolo, **55**(2018), Article number: 53. doi.org/10.1007/s10092-018-0291-2

- [16] A.H. Ibrahim, A.I. Garba, H. Usman, J. Abubakar and A.B. Abubakar, *Derivative-free mil conjugate gradient method for convex constrained equations*, Thai J. Math., **18**(1)(2020), 211–231.
- [17] A.H. Ibrahim, P. Kumam, A.B. Abubakar, W. Jirakitpuwapat and J. Abubakar, *A hybrid conjugate gradient algorithm for constrained monotone equations with application in compressive sensing*, Heliyon, **6**(3) (2020), e03466. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03466.
- [18] A.H. Ibrahim, P. Kumam, A.B. Abubakar, J. Abubakar and A.B. Muhammad, *Least-square-based three-term conjugate gradient projection method for ℓ_1 -norm problems with application to compressed sensing*, MDPI Mathematics, **8**(4) (2020):602. doi.org/10.3390/math8040602.
- [19] A.H. Ibrahim, P. Kumam, A.B. Abubakar, U.B. Yusuf and J. Rilwan. *Derivative-free conjugate residual algorithms for convex constraints nonlinear monotone equations and signal recovery*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal., **21** (2020),1959–1972.
- [20] A.H. Ibrahim, P. Kumam and W. Kumam, *A family of derivative-free conjugate gradient methods for constrained nonlinear equations and image restoration*, IEEE Access, **8** (2020),162714–162729.
- [21] A.H. Ibrahim, P. Kumam, A.B. Abubakar, U. B. Yusuf, S.E. Yimer and K.O. Aremu, *An efficient gradient-free projection algorithm for constrained nonlinear equations and image restoration*, AIMS Mathematics, **6**(1) (2020), 235-260. doi: 10.3934/math.2021016.
- [22] A.H. Ibrahim, K. Muangchoob, N.S. Mohamed and A. B. Abubakar, *Derivative-free smr conjugate gradient method for constraint nonlinear equations*, J. Math. Comput. Sci., **24**(2) (2022),147–164.
- [23] A.H. Ibrahim, K. Muangchoo, A.B. Abubakar, A.D. Adedokun and H. Mohammed, *Spectral conjugate gradient like method for signal reconstruction*, Thai J. Math., **18**(4) (2020), 2013–2022.
- [24] A.H. Ibrahim and P. Kumam, *Re-modified derivative-free iterative method for nonlinear monotone equations with convex constraints*, Ain Shams Eng. J., (2021). doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2020.11.009.
- [25] A.H. Ibrahim, J. Deepho, A.B. Abubakar and K.O. Aremu, *A modified lu-storey-conjugate descent hybrid projection method for convex constrained nonlinear equations and image restoration*, Num. Algebra Control Opti., (2021). doi: 10.3934/naco.2021022
- [26] A.H. Ibrahim, P. Kumam, B.A. Hassan, A.B. Abubakar and J. Abubakar, *A derivative-free three-term hestenes-stiefel type method for constrained nonlinear equations and image restoration*, Inter. J.Comput. Math., (2021),1–22. doi.org/10.1080/00207160.2021.1946043
- [27] A.H. Ibrahim, J. Deepho, Auwal Bala Abubakar and A. Adamu, *A three-term polak-ribire-polyak derivative-free method and its application to image restoration*, Scientific African, **13** (2021), e00880. doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2021.e00880.
- [28] A.H. Ibrahim, P. Kumam, M. Sun, P. Chaipunya and A.B. Abubakar, *Projection method with inertial step for nonlinear equations: Application to signal recovery*, J. Indus.Manag. Optimi.,(2021). doi: 10.3934/jimo.2021173
- [29] C. Izuchukwu and Y. Shehu, *New inertial projection methods for solving multivalued variational inequality problems beyond monotonicity*, Networks Spatial Econ., **22**(2),(2021), 291-323. doi: 10.1007/s11067-021-09517-w
- [30] C. Izuchukwu, S. Reich, and Y. Shehu, *Relaxed inertial methods for solving the split monotone variational inclusion problem beyond co-coerciveness*, Optimization., (2021), 1–40. doi.org/10.1080/02331934.2021.1981895
- [31] K. Meintjes and A.P. Morgan, *A methodology for solving chemical equilibrium systems*, Appl. Math. Comput., **22**(4)(1987), 333–361.

- [32] B.T. Polyak, *Some methods of speeding up the convergence of iteration methods*, *Ussr Comput. Math. Math. Physics*, **4**(5)(1964), 1–17..
- [33] M.V. Solodov and B.F. Svaiter, *A new projection method for variational inequality problems*, *SIAM J. Cont. Optim.*, **37**(3)(1999), 765–776.
- [34] A.J. Wood and B.F. Wollenberg, *Power generation, operation and control*, New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1996.
- [35] Y. Xiao and H. Zhu, *A conjugate gradient method to solve convex constrained monotone equations with applications in compressive sensing*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **405**(1) (2013), 310–319.