



Source details

Demonstratio Mathematica

Open Access ⓘ

Scopus coverage years: from 1996 to Present

Publisher: Walter de Gruyter

ISSN: 0420-1213 E-ISSN: 2391-4661

Subject area: Mathematics: General Mathematics

Source type: Journal

CiteScore 2020

1.7

ⓘ

SJR 2020

0.541

ⓘ

SNIP 2020

0.848

ⓘ

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2020 counts the citations received in 2017-2020 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2017-2020, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2017-2020. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2020

$$1.7 = \frac{218 \text{ Citations 2017 - 2020}}{126 \text{ Documents 2017 - 2020}}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2021

CiteScoreTracker 2021 ⓘ

$$2.4 = \frac{241 \text{ Citations to date}}{100 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 04 June, 2021 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2020 ⓘ

Category	Rank	Percentile
Mathematics		
General Mathematics	#108/378	71st

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site >](#)

About Scopus


[What is Scopus](#)
[Content coverage](#)
[Scopus blog](#)
[Scopus API](#)
[Privacy matters](#)

Language

[日本語に切り替える](#)
[切换到简体中文](#)
[切换到繁體中文](#)
[Русский язык](#)

Customer Service

[Help](#)
[Contact us](#)



Demonstratio Mathematica

Open Access 

ISSN: 2391-4661

First published: March 7, 2014

Editor-in-chief: Calogero Vetro

[OVERVIEW](#)

[LATEST ISSUE](#)

[ISSUES](#)

[RANKING](#)

[SUBMIT](#)

EDITORIAL

Editorial

Editor-in-Chief

Calogero Vetro, University of Palermo, Italy

Managing Editor

Justyna Zuk, De Gruyter

Editorial Advisory Board

Ravi P. Agarwal, Texas A&M University – Kingsville, USA

George Anastassiou, University of Memphis, USA

Marlene Frigon, University of Montréal, Canada

Doron Lubinsky, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA

Gradimir V. Milovanovic, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Serbia

Dumitru Motreanu, University of Perpignan, France

Donal O'Regan, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

Tohru Ozawa, Waseda University, Japan

Tao Qian, University of Macau, China

Vicentiu Radulescu, AGH University of Science and Technology, Poland / University of Craiova, Romania

Themistocles M. Rassias, National Technical University of Athens, Greece

Simeon Reich, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Israel

Billy E. Rhoades, Indiana University, USA

Bessem Samet, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia

Hari Mohan Srivastava, University of Victoria, Canada

Wataru Takahashi, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Japan

Editorial Board

Tuncer Acar, Selcuk University, Turkey

Pietro Aiena, University of Palermo, Italy

Francesco Altomare, University of Bari, Italy

Esteban Andrushow, National University of General Sarmiento, Argentina

Ali Aral, Kirikkale University, Turkey

Dariusz Bugajewski, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland

Oktay Duman, TOBB University of Economics and Technology, Turkey

Hemen Dutta, University of Gauhati, India

Giovany Figueiredo, Universidade de Brasília, Brasil

Xian-Ming Gu, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China

Antonio Iannizzotto, University of Cagliari, Italy

Zenon Jabłoński, Jagiellonian University, Poland

Chao Ji, East China University of Science and Technology, China

Anna Kaminska, University of Memphis, USA

Wiesław Krawcewicz, University of Texas at Dallas, USA

Alexander Lomtatidze, Brno University of Technology, Czech Republic

Giuseppe Marino, University of Calabria, Italy

Vladimir Müller, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Czech Republic

Details

Type: Journal

Language: English

Publisher: De Gruyter Open Access

First published: March 7, 2014

Publication Frequency: 1 Issue per Year

Audience: Scientists, graduate students, and experts in mathematics.



Dorian Popa, Technical University of Cluj–Napoca, Romania
Ioan Rasa, Technical University of Cluj–Napoca, Romania
Dušan D. Repovš, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
Enrique A. Sánchez–Pérez, Technical University of Valencia, Spain
Wasfi Shatanawi, Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan
Plamen Simeonov, University of Houston–Downtown, USA
Tomonari Suzuki, Kyushu Institute of Technology, Japan
Sergey Tikhonov, ICREA, Centre De Recerca Matemàtica and UAB, Barcelona, Spain
Gianluca Vinti, University of Perugia, Italy
Dariusz Wardowski, University of Lodz, Poland
Eric Weber, Iowa State University, USA
Patrick Winkert, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
Xiao–Jun Yang, China University of Mining and Technology, China
Bentuo Zheng, University of Memphis, USA

Assistant Editors

Piotr Kasprzak, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poland
Hanqiu Tan, PingAn Insurance Company, China

Language Editors

John Evans, University of Coventry, UK
Katerina Hristova, University of East Anglia, UK

Publisher

DE GRUYTER Poland
Bogumila Zuga 32A Str.
01–811 Warsaw, Poland
T: +48 22 701 50 15

Editorial Contact

Justyna Zuk, Ph.D.
Justyna.Zuk@degruyter.com

[\(Deutsch\)](#)

Contact us

[Customer Service](#)
[Human Resources](#)
[Press](#)
[Contacts for authors](#)

Career

[How to join us](#)
[Current Vacancies](#)
[Working at De Gruyter](#)

Open Access

[Articles](#)
[Books](#)
[Funding & Support](#)

For Authors

[Publish your book](#)
[Publish your journal article](#)
[Abstracting & Indexing](#)

For Libraries & Trade Partners

[Electronic Journals](#)
[Ebooks](#)
[Databases & Online Reference](#)
[Metadata](#)

Our Partner Publishers

Rights & Permissions

[Repository Policy](#)
[Free Access Policy](#)

About De Gruyter

[De Gruyter Foundation](#)
[Our locations](#)

Help/FAQ

[Privacy Policy](#)
[Terms & Conditions](#)
[Legal Notice](#)



Demonstratio Mathematica

[Open Access](#)

Volume 54 Issue 1 January 2021

CONTENTS

[JOURNAL OVERVIEW](#)

Regular Articles

Graded I -second submodules

Malik Bataineh, Rashid Abu-Dawwas February 17, 2021 Page range: 1–8

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

Solving two-dimensional nonlinear fuzzy Volterra integral equations by homotopy analysis method

Atanaska Georgieva April 5, 2021 Page range: 11–24

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

Chandrasekhar quadratic and cubic integral equations via Volterra-Stieltjes quadratic integral equation

Ahmed M. A. El-Sayed, Yasmin M. Y. Omar May 18, 2021 Page range: 25–36

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

On q -analogue of Janowski-type starlike functions with respect to symmetric points

Muhammad Ghaffar Khan, Bakhtiar Ahmad, Raees Khan, Muhammad Zubair, Zabidin Salleh April 15, 2021 Page range: 37–46

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

Inertial shrinking projection algorithm with self-adaptive step size for split generalized equilibrium and fixed point problems for a countable family of nonexpansive multivalued mappings

Musa A. Olona, Timilehin O. Alakoya, Abd-semii O.-E. Owolabi, Oluwatosin T. Mewomo April 16, 2021 Page range: 47–67

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

On new stability results for composite functional equations in quasi- β -normed spaces

Anurak Thanyacharoen, Wutiphol Sintunavarat April 20, 2021 Page range: 68–84

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

Sampling and interpolation of cumulative distribution functions of Cantor sets in $[0, 1]$

Allison Byars, Evan Camrud, Steven N. Harding, Sarah McCarty, Keith Sullivan, Eric S. Weber May 10, 2021 Page range: 85–109

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

Meromorphic solutions of the $(2 + 1)$ - and the $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional BLMP equations and the $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional KMN equation

Guoqiang Dang May 21, 2021 Page range: 129–139

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

On the equivalence between weak BMO and the space of derivatives of the Zygmund class

Eddy Kwessi May 27, 2021 Page range: 140–150

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF](#)

Search journal



☒ This issue ☐ All issues

On some fixed point theorems for multivalued F -contractions in partial metric spaces

Santosh Kumar, Sholastica Luambano June 3, 2021 Page range: 151–161

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF ↓](#)

On graded I_{gr} -classical 2-absorbing submodules of graded modules over graded commutative rings

Khaldoun Al-Zoubi, Shatha Alghueiri June 9, 2021 Page range: 162–167

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF ↓](#)

On almost α - $\mathcal{J}$ -continuous functions

Wadei AL-Omeri, Takashi Noiri June 9, 2021 Page range: 168–177

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF ↓](#)

Analytical properties of the two-variables Jacobi matrix polynomials with applications

Mohamed Abdalla, Muajebah Hidan June 15, 2021 Page range: 178–188

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF ↓](#)

Corrigendum

Corrigendum to the paper “Equivalence of the existence of best proximity points and best proximity pairs for cyclic and noncyclic nonexpansive mappings”

Moosa Gabeleh April 3, 2021 Page range: 9–10

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF ↓](#)

Special Issue on Equilibrium Problems: Fixed-Point and Best Proximity-Point Approaches

Strong convergence inertial projection algorithm with self-adaptive step size rule for pseudomonotone variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces

Nopparat Wairojjana, Nuttapol Pakkaranang, Nattawut Pholasa May 19, 2021 Page range: 110–128

[More ▾](#)



[Open Access](#)

[PDF ↓](#)

Contact us

[Customer Service](#)

[Human Resources](#)

[Press](#)

[Contacts for authors](#)

Career

[How to join us](#)

[Current Vacancies](#)

[Working at De Gruyter](#)

Open Access

[Articles](#)

[Books](#)

[Funding & Support](#)

For Authors

[Publish your book](#)

[Publish your journal article](#)

[Abstracting & Indexing](#)

For Libraries & Trade Partners

[Electronic Journals](#)

[Ebooks](#)

[Databases & Online Reference](#)

[Metadata](#)

Our Partner Publishers

Rights & Permissions

[Repository Policy](#)

[Free Access Policy](#)

About De Gruyter

[De Gruyter Foundation](#)

[Our locations](#)

[Help/FAQ](#)

[Privacy Policy](#)

[Terms & Conditions](#)

[Legal Notice](#)

Research Article

Nopparat Wairojjana, Nuttapol Pakkaranang, and Nattawut Pholasa*

Strong convergence inertial projection algorithm with self-adaptive step size rule for pseudomonotone variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces

<https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2021-0011>

received January 5, 2021; accepted April 16, 2021

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce a new algorithm for solving pseudomonotone variational inequalities with a Lipschitz-type condition in a real Hilbert space. The algorithm is constructed around two algorithms: the subgradient extragradient algorithm and the inertial algorithm. The proposed algorithm uses a new step size rule based on local operator information rather than its Lipschitz constant or any other line search scheme and functions without any knowledge of the Lipschitz constant of an operator. The strong convergence of the algorithm is provided. To determine the computational performance of our algorithm, some numerical results are presented.

Keywords: variational inequalities, extragradient-like algorithm, strong convergence theorem, Lipschitz continuity, pseudomonotone mapping

MSC 2020: 65Y05, 65K15, 68W10, 47H05, 47H10

1 Introduction

This paper studies the problem of classic variational inequalities [1,2]. The *variational inequality problem* (VIP) for a mapping $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, which is formulated in the following way:

$$\text{Find } q^* \in \mathcal{K} \text{ such that } \langle \mathcal{A}(q^*), v - q^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}, \quad (\text{VIP})$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is a nonempty, convex and closed subset of a real Hilbert space $\mathcal{E}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$ represent an inner product and the induced norm in $\mathcal{E}$, respectively. Moreover, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{N}$ are the sets of real numbers and natural numbers, respectively. It is important to note that the problem (VIP) is equivalent to solve the following problem:

$$\text{Find } q^* \in \mathcal{K} \text{ such that } q^* = P_{\mathcal{K}}[q^* - \zeta \mathcal{A}(q^*)].$$

The concept of variational inequalities has been used as an important tool for covering a large number of topics, i.e., physics, engineering, economics and optimization theory. This was introduced by Stampacchia [1]

* **Corresponding author: Nattawut Pholasa**, School of Science, University of Phayao, Phayao 56000, Thailand, e-mail: nattawut_math@hotmail.com

Nopparat Wairojjana: Applied Mathematics Program, Faculty of Science and Technology, Valaya Alongkorn Rajabhat University under the Royal Patronage (VRU), 1 Moo 20 Phaholyothin Road, Klong Neung, Klong Luang, Pathumthani 13180, Thailand, e-mail: nopparat@vru.ac.th

Nuttapol Pakkaranang: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Phetchabun Rajabhat University, Phetchabun 67000, Thailand, e-mail: nuttapol.pakka@gmail.com

in 1964. This is a significant mathematical design that unifies several key topics of applied mathematics, such as the network equilibrium problem, the necessary optimality conditions, the complementarity problems and the systems of nonlinear equations (for more details [3–12]). On the other hand, the projection algorithms are important to find the numerical solution of variational inequalities. Many experts have introduced and considered many projection algorithms to study the variational inequality problems (see for more details [13–25]) and others in [26–28]. Korpelevich [13] and Antipin [29] introduced the following extragradient algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} u_0 \in \mathcal{K}, \\ v_n = P_{\mathcal{K}}[u_n - \zeta \mathcal{A}(u_n)], \\ u_{n+1} = P_{\mathcal{K}}[u_n - \zeta \mathcal{A}(v_n)]. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Recently, the subgradient extragradient algorithm was provided by Censor et al. [15] for solving the problem (VIP) in a real Hilbert space. Their algorithm takes the form

$$\begin{cases} u_0 \in \mathcal{K}, \\ v_n = P_{\mathcal{K}}[u_n - \zeta \mathcal{A}(u_n)], \\ u_{n+1} = P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[u_n - \zeta \mathcal{A}(v_n)], \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \{z \in \mathcal{E} : \langle u_n - \zeta \mathcal{A}(u_n) - v_n, z - v_n \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

It is important to note that the above well-established algorithm carries two serious drawbacks, the first is the fixed constant step size that requires the knowledge or approximation of the Lipschitz constant of the relevant operator and it only converges weakly in Hilbert spaces. From the computational point of view, it might be problematic to use fixed step size, and hence the convergence rate and usefulness of the algorithm could be affected.

Yang et al. [30] proposed two explicit subgradient extragradient methods to solve monotone variational inequalities. An iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ was generated in the following way:

Algorithm A.

- (i) Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and $\zeta_0 > 0$.
- (ii) Compute iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ for $n \geq 1$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} v_n = P_{\mathcal{K}}[u_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(u_n)], \\ u_{n+1} = P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[u_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)], \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \{z \in \mathcal{E} : \langle u_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(u_n) - v_n, z - v_n \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

- (iii) Update the step size rule in the following way:

$$\zeta_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \zeta_n, \frac{\mu \|u_n - v_n\|^2 + \mu \|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2}{\langle \mathcal{A}(u_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle} \right\} & \text{if } \langle \mathcal{A}(u_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle > 0, \\ \zeta_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $u_n = v_n$, then stop. Otherwise, set $n := n + 1$ and return to Step (ii).

Algorithm B.

- (i) Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mu \in (0, 1)$, $\zeta_0 > 0$ and a sequence $\phi_n \subset (0, 1)$ with $\phi_n \rightarrow 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n = +\infty$.
- (ii) Compute iterative sequence $\{u_n\}$ for $n \geq 1$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} v_n = P_{\mathcal{K}}[u_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(u_n)], \\ z_n = P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[u_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)], \\ u_{n+1} = \phi_n u_0 + (1 - \phi_n) z_n, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \{z \in \mathcal{E} : \langle u_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(u_n) - v_n, z - v_n \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

(iii) Update the step size rule in the following way:

$$\zeta_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \zeta_n, \frac{\mu \|u_n - v_n\|^2 + \mu \|z_n - v_n\|^2}{\langle \mathcal{A}(u_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), z_n - v_n \rangle} \right\} & \text{if } \langle \mathcal{A}(u_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), z_n - v_n \rangle > 0, \\ \zeta_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $u_n = v_n$, then stop. Otherwise, set $n := n + 1$ and return to Step (ii).

The main objective of this paper is to set up an inertial-type algorithm that is used to improve the convergence rate of the iterative sequence. Such algorithms have been previously established due to the oscillator equation with a damping and conservative force restoration. This second-order dynamical system is called a heavy friction ball, which was originally studied by Polyak in [31]. The main feature of inertial-type algorithms is that they can use the two previous iterations to obtain the next iteration. Numerical results confirm that inertial terms normally improve the performance of the algorithm in terms of the number of iterations and elapsed time in this context.

So there is an important question:

“Is it possible to establish a new inertial-like strongly convergent extragradient-type algorithm with a monotone variable step size rule?”

In this research, we provide a positive answer to the above question, i.e., the gradient algorithm indeed establishes a strong convergence sequence by maintaining variable step size rule for solving problem (VIP) combined with pseudomonotone mappings. Motivated by the works of Censor et al. [15] and Polyak [31], we introduce a new inertial extragradient-type algorithm to figure out the problem (VIP) in the situation of an infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space.

Specifically, our key contributions to this paper are as follows:

- We introduce an inertial subgradient extragradient algorithm with the use of a variable monotone step size rule independent of the Lipschitz constant to figure out pseudo-monotone VIPs.
- We also provide numerical experiments corresponding to the proposed algorithms for the verification of theoretical findings and compare them with the results in Algorithm 1 in [30] and Algorithm 2 in [30]. Our numerical data have shown that the proposed algorithms are useful and performed better compared to the existing ones in most situations.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows: Section 2 consists of the necessary definitions and fundamental lemmas needed in the article. Section 3 consists of an inertial-type iterative scheme and convergence analysis theorem. Section 4 provides numerical results to explain the performance of the new algorithm and to compare them with other existing algorithms.

2 Preliminaries

In this section of the text, we have written a number of significant identities and related lemmas and definitions.

The metric projection $P_{\mathcal{K}}(v_1)$ of $v_1 \in \mathcal{E}$ is defined by

$$P_{\mathcal{K}}(v_1) = \arg \min \{\|v_1 - v_2\| : v_2 \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

Next, we list some of the important properties of the projection mapping.

Lemma 2.1. [32] Suppose that $P_{\mathcal{K}} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ is a metric projection. Then, we have

(i) $v_3 = P_{\mathcal{K}}(v_1)$ if and only if

$$\langle v_1 - v_3, v_2 - v_3 \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall v_2 \in \mathcal{K},$$

(ii)

$$\|v_1 - P_{\mathcal{K}}(v_2)\|^2 + \|P_{\mathcal{K}}(v_2) - v_2\|^2 \leq \|v_1 - v_2\|^2, \quad v_1 \in \mathcal{K}, \quad v_2 \in \mathcal{E},$$

(iii)

$$\|v_1 - P_{\mathcal{K}}(v_1)\| \leq \|v_1 - v_2\|, \quad v_2 \in \mathcal{K}, \quad v_1 \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Lemma 2.2. [33] Let $\{p_n\} \subset [0, +\infty)$ be a sequence satisfying the following inequality:

$$p_{n+1} \leq (1 - q_n)p_n + q_nr_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Furthermore, let $\{q_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{r_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be two sequences such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} q_n = 0, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} q_n = +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_n \leq 0.$$

Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} p_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.3. [34] Suppose that $\{p_n\}$ is a sequence of real numbers such that there exists a subsequence $\{n_i\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$p_{n_i} < p_{n_{i+1}}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, there exists a nondecreasing sequence $m_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $m_k \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, and satisfies the following conditions for numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$p_{m_k} \leq p_{m_{k+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad p_k \leq p_{m_{k+1}}.$$

Indeed, $m_k = \max\{j \leq k : p_j \leq p_{j+1}\}$.

Next, we list some of the important identities that were used to prove the convergence analysis.

Lemma 2.4. [32] For any $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, the following inequalities hold:

(i)

$$\|\ell v_1 + (1 - \ell)v_2\|^2 = \ell\|v_1\|^2 + (1 - \ell)\|v_2\|^2 - \ell(1 - \ell)\|v_1 - v_2\|^2,$$

(ii)

$$\|v_1 + v_2\|^2 \leq \|v_1\|^2 + 2\langle v_2, v_1 + v_2 \rangle.$$

Lemma 2.5. [35] Assume that $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a pseudomonotone and continuous mapping. Then, q^* is a solution of the problem (VIP) if and only if q^* is a solution of the following problem:

$$\text{Find } u \in \mathcal{K} \text{ such that } \langle \mathcal{A}(v), v - u \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}.$$

3 Inertial two-step proximal-like algorithm and convergence analysis

In this section, we introduce an inertial-type subgradient extragradient algorithm which incorporates the new step size rule and the inertial term as well as provides both strong convergence theorems. The following main result is outlined as follows:

Algorithm 1

Step 0: Let $u_{-1}, u_0 \in \mathcal{K}$, $\alpha > 0$, $\mu \in (0, 1)$, $\zeta_0 > 0$. Moreover, choose $\{\beta_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_n = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \beta_n = +\infty.$$

Step 1: Compute

$$\eta_n = (1 - \beta_n)[u_n + \alpha_n(u_n - u_{n-1})],$$

where α_n such that

$$0 \leq \alpha_n \leq \hat{\alpha}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\alpha}_n = \begin{cases} \min\left\{\alpha, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|}\right\} & \text{if } u_n \neq u_{n-1}, \\ \alpha & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

while $\varepsilon_n = \circ(\beta_n)$ is a positive sequence, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta_n} = 0$.

Step 2: Compute

$$v_n = P_{\mathcal{K}}(\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(\eta_n)).$$

If $\eta_n = v_n$, then STOP and v_n is a solution. Otherwise, go to **Step 3**.

Step 3: Compute

$$u_{n+1} = P_{\mathcal{E}_n}(\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)),$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_n = \{z \in \mathcal{E} : \langle \eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - v_n, z - v_n \rangle \leq 0\}.$$

(iii) Compute

$$\zeta_{n+1} = \begin{cases} \min\left\{\zeta_n, \frac{\mu\|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 + \mu\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2}{2\langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle}\right\} & \text{if } \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle > 0, \\ \zeta_n & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Set $n = n + 1$ and go back to **Step 1**.

Lemma 3.1. A step size sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ is monotonically decreasing with a lower bound $\min\{\frac{\mu}{L}, \zeta_0\}$ and converges to $\zeta > 0$.

Proof. Consider that $\langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\mu(\|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 + \|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2)}{2\langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle} \geq \frac{2\mu\|\eta_n - v_n\|\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|}{2\|\mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n)\|\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|} \geq \frac{2\mu\|\eta_n - v_n\|\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|}{2L\|\eta_n - v_n\|\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|} \geq \frac{\mu}{L}. \quad (6)$$

This implies that the sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ has a lower bound $\min\left\{\frac{\mu}{L}, \zeta_0\right\}$. Furthermore, there exists a real number $\zeta > 0$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n = \zeta$. $\square$

In order to study the strong convergence, the following conditions are satisfied:

(A1) The solution set of problem (VIP) denoted by Ω is nonempty;

(A2) An operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is said to be pseudomonotone, i.e.,

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(v_1), v_2 - v_1 \rangle \geq 0 \Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{A}(v_2), v_1 - v_2 \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{K};$$

(A3) An operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is said to be *Lipschitz continuous* with constant $L > 0$, i.e., there exists $L > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(v_1) - \mathcal{A}(v_2)\| \leq L\|v_1 - v_2\|, \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{K};$$

(A4) An operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is said to be *sequentially weakly continuous*, i.e., $\{\mathcal{A}(u_n)\}$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{A}(u)$ for every sequence $\{u_n\}$ converges weakly to u .

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that an operator $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ satisfies the conditions (A1)–(A4). For a given $q^* \in \Omega \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$\|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2 \leq \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right)\|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right)\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2.$$

Proof. It is given that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2 &= \|P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - q^*\|^2 \\ &= \|P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] + [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - q^*\|^2 \\ &= \|[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - q^*\|^2 + \|P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)]\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\langle P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)], [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - q^* \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)]\|^2 + \langle P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)], [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - q^* \rangle \\ &= \langle [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)], q^* - P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] \rangle \leq 0, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which implies that

$$\langle P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)], [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - q^* \rangle \leq -\|P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)]\|^2. \quad (9)$$

Combining expressions (7) and (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2 &\leq \|\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n) - q^*\|^2 - \|P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)] - [\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)]\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 - \|\eta_n - u_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\zeta_n \langle \mathcal{A}(v_n), q^* - u_{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Since q^* is the solution of problem (VIP), we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(q^*), v - q^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Due to the pseudomonotonicity of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{K}$, we get

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(v), v - q^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{K}.$$

By substituting $v = v_n \in \mathcal{K}$, we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(v_n), v_n - q^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(v_n), q^* - u_{n+1} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{A}(v_n), q^* - v_n \rangle + \langle \mathcal{A}(v_n), v_n - u_{n+1} \rangle \leq \langle \mathcal{A}(v_n), v_n - u_{n+1} \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Combining expressions (10) and (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2 &\leq \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 - \|\eta_n - u_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\zeta_n \langle \mathcal{A}(v_n), v_n - u_{n+1} \rangle \\ &\leq \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 - \|\eta_n - v_n + v_n - u_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\zeta_n \langle \mathcal{A}(v_n), v_n - u_{n+1} \rangle \\ &\leq \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 - \|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 - \|v_n - u_{n+1}\|^2 + 2\langle \eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n) - v_n, u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle.\end{aligned}\quad (12)$$

By the use of $u_{n+1} = P_{\mathcal{E}_n}[\eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n)]$ and by the definition of ζ_{n+1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}2\langle \eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(v_n) - v_n, u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle &= 2\langle \eta_n - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - v_n, u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle + 2\zeta_n \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle \\ &= 2\frac{\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}} \zeta_{n+1} \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_n) - \mathcal{A}(v_n), u_{n+1} - v_n \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}} \|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 + \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}} \|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2.\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

Combining expressions (12) and (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2 &\leq \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 - \|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 - \|v_n - u_{n+1}\|^2 + \frac{\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}} [\mu\|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 + \mu\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2] \\ &\leq \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right) \|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right) \|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2.\end{aligned}\quad (14)$$

□

Theorem 3.3. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence generated by Algorithm 1 and satisfy the conditions $(\mathcal{A}1)$ – $(\mathcal{A}4)$. Then, $\{u_n\}$ strongly converges to $q^* \in \Omega$. Moreover, $P_\Omega(0) = q^*$.

Proof. It is given that $\zeta_n \rightarrow \zeta$ such that $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - \mu)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right) = 1 - \mu > \varepsilon > 0.$$

Thus, there exists a finite number $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right) > \varepsilon > 0, \quad \forall n \geq n_1. \quad (15)$$

This implies that

$$\|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2 \leq \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2, \quad \forall n \geq n_1. \quad (16)$$

It is given in expression (16) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta_n} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| = 0. \quad (17)$$

By the use of definition of $\{\eta_n\}$ and inequality (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\eta_n - q^*\| &= \|u_n + \alpha_n(u_n - u_{n-1}) - \beta_n u_n - \alpha_n \beta_n(u_n - u_{n-1}) - q^*\| \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(u_n - q^*) + (1 - \beta_n)\alpha_n(u_n - u_{n-1}) - \beta_n q^*\|\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - q^*\| + (1 - \beta_n)\alpha_n \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + \beta_n \|q^*\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - q^*\| + \beta_n M_1,\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

where

$$(1 - \beta_n) \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + \|q^*\| \leq M_1.$$

Combining expressions (16) and (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{n+1} - q^*\| &\leq (1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - q^*\| + \beta_n M_1 \\ &\leq \max\{\|u_n - q^*\|, M_1\} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq \max\{\|u_0 - q^*\|, M_1\}.\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

Thus, we conclude that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence. Indeed, by expression (19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_n)^2\|u_n - q^*\|^2 + \beta_n^2 M_1^2 + 2M_1\beta_n(1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - q^*\| \\ &\leq \|u_n - q^*\|^2 + \beta_n[\beta_n M_1^2 + 2M_1(1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - q^*\|] \\ &\leq \|u_n - q^*\|^2 + \beta_n M_2,\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

where

$$\beta_n M_1^2 + 2M_1(1 - \beta_n)\|u_n - q^*\| \leq M_2,$$

for some $M_2 > 0$. By using the expressions (14) with (21), we have

$$\|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2 \leq \|u_n - q^*\|^2 + \beta_n M_2 - \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right)\|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 - \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right)\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2. \quad (22)$$

The rest of the proof will be divided into the following two parts:

Case 1: Consider that a fixed number $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n_2 \geq n_1$) such that

$$\|u_{n+1} - q^*\| \leq \|u_n - q^*\|, \quad \forall n \geq n_2. \quad (23)$$

This implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - q^*\|$ exists and let $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - q^*\| = l$ for some $l \geq 0$. From the expression (22), we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right)\|\eta_n - v_n\|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_n}{\zeta_{n+1}}\right)\|u_{n+1} - v_n\|^2 \leq \|u_n - q^*\|^2 + \beta_n M_2 - \|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2. \quad (24)$$

Due to the existence of a limit of sequence $\|u_n - q^*\|$ and $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$, we deduce that

$$\|\eta_n - v_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{n+1} - v_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (25)$$

By the use of expression (25), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\eta_n - u_{n+1}\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\eta_n - v_n\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|v_n - u_{n+1}\| = 0. \quad (26)$$

Next, we have compute

$$\begin{aligned}\|\eta_n - u_n\| &= \|u_n + \alpha_n(u_n - u_{n-1}) - \beta_n[u_n + \alpha_n(u_n - u_{n-1})] - u_n\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n\|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + \beta_n\|u_n\| + \alpha_n\beta_n\|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \\ &= \beta_n \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}\|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + \beta_n\|u_n\| + \beta_n^2 \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n}\|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

The following provides that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - u_{n+1}\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - \eta_n\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\eta_n - u_{n+1}\| = 0. \quad (28)$$

The above expression guarantees that the sequences $\{\eta_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ are also bounded. By the use of reflexivity of $\mathcal{E}$ and the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ guarantees that there exists a subsequence $\{u_{n_k}\}$ such that $\{u_{n_k}\} \rightharpoonup \hat{u} \in \mathcal{E}$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Next, we have to prove that $\hat{u} \in \Omega$.

It is given that

$$v_{n_k} = P_{\mathcal{K}}[\eta_{n_k} - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k})],$$

that is equivalent to

$$\langle \eta_{n_k} - \zeta_n \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k}) - v_{n_k}, v - v_{n_k} \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (29)$$

The inequality described above implies that

$$\langle \eta_{n_k} - v_{n_k}, v - v_{n_k} \rangle \leq \zeta_n \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k}), v - v_{n_k} \rangle, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (30)$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\zeta_n} \langle \eta_{n_k} - v_{n_k}, v - v_{n_k} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k}), v_{n_k} - \eta_{n_k} \rangle \leq \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k}), v - \eta_{n_k} \rangle, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (31)$$

The sequence $\{\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k})\}$ is bounded due to the boundedness of sequence $\{\eta_{n_k}\}$. By the use of $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_{n_k} - v_{n_k}\| = 0$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (31), we obtain

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k}), v - \eta_{n_k} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (32)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(v_{n_k}), v - v_{n_k} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{A}(v_{n_k}) - \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k}), v - \eta_{n_k} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k}), v - \eta_{n_k} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{A}(v_{n_k}), \eta_{n_k} - v_{n_k} \rangle. \quad (33)$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_{n_k} - v_{n_k}\| = 0$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is L -Lipschitz continuous. Thus, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k}) - \mathcal{A}(v_{n_k})\| = 0. \quad (34)$$

Combining expressions (33) and (34), we obtain

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(v_{n_k}), v - v_{n_k} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (35)$$

Consider a sequence of positive numbers $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ that is decreasing and converges to zero. For each k , we denote m_k by the smallest positive integer such that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_i}), v - \eta_{n_i} \rangle + \varepsilon_k \geq 0, \quad \forall i \geq m_k. \quad (36)$$

It is obvious that $\{m_k\}$ is an increasing sequence because $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ is a decreasing sequence.

Case A: Let there exists a subsequence $\{\eta_{n_{m_{k_j}}}\}$ of sequence $\{\eta_{n_{m_k}}\}$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_{m_{k_j}}}) = 0$ ($\forall j$). Consider that $j \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(\hat{u}), v - \hat{u} \rangle = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_{m_{k_j}}}), v - \hat{u} \rangle = 0. \quad (37)$$

Hence $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{K}$, therefore we obtain $\hat{u} \in \Omega$.

Case B: If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n_{m_k} \geq n_0$, $\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_{m_k}}) \neq 0$. Suppose that

$$\Delta_{n_{m_k}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_{m_k}})}{\|\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_{m_k}})\|^2}, \quad \forall n_{m_k} \geq n_0. \quad (38)$$

On the basis of the above definition, we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_{m_k}}), \Delta_{n_{m_k}} \rangle = 1, \quad \forall n_{m_k} \geq n_0. \quad (39)$$

By using expressions (36) and (39) for all $n_{m_k} \geq n_0$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_{m_k}}), v + \varepsilon_k \Delta_{n_{m_k}} - \eta_{n_{m_k}} \rangle \geq 0. \quad (40)$$

Due to the pseudomonotonicity of $\mathcal{A}$ for $n_{m_k} \geq n_0$, we have

$$\left\langle \mathcal{A}(v + \varepsilon_k \Delta_{n_{m_k}}), v + \varepsilon_k \Delta_{n_{m_k}} - \eta_{n_{m_k}} \right\rangle \geq 0. \quad (41)$$

For all $n_{m_k} \geq n_0$, we have

$$\left\langle \mathcal{A}(v), v - \eta_{n_{m_k}} \right\rangle \geq \left\langle \mathcal{A}(v) - \mathcal{A}(v + \varepsilon_k \Delta_{n_{m_k}}), v + \varepsilon_k \Delta_{n_{m_k}} - \eta_{n_{m_k}} \right\rangle - \varepsilon_k \langle \mathcal{A}(v), \Delta_{n_{m_k}} \rangle. \quad (42)$$

Since $\{\eta_{n_k}\}$ weakly converges to $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is sequentially weakly continuous, it implies that $\{\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k})\}$ weakly converges to $\mathcal{A}(\hat{u})$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}(\hat{u}) \neq 0$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\hat{u})\| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_k})\|. \quad (43)$$

Since $\{\eta_{n_{m_k}}\} \subset \{\eta_{n_k}\}$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_k = 0$, we have

$$0 \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\varepsilon_k \Delta_{n_{m_k}}\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_k}{\|\mathcal{A}(\eta_{n_{m_k}})\|} \leq \frac{0}{\|\mathcal{A}(\hat{u})\|} = 0. \quad (44)$$

Next, considering $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (42), we obtain

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(v), v - \hat{u} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{K}. \quad (45)$$

By the use of Minty Lemma 2.5, we infer $\hat{u} \in \Omega$. It is given that $q^* = P_\Omega(0)$ and by using Lemma 2.1(ii), we have

$$\langle 0 - q^*, v - q^* \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall v \in \Omega. \quad (46)$$

Next, we have to

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle q^*, q^* - u_n \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle q^*, q^* - u_{n_k} \rangle = \langle q^*, q^* - \hat{u} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (47)$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\| = 0$, it gives that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle q^*, q^* - u_{n+1} \rangle \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle q^*, q^* - u_n \rangle + \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle q^*, u_n - u_{n+1} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (48)$$

Taking into account expression (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_n - q^*\|^2 &= \|u_n + \alpha_n(u_n - u_{n-1}) - \beta_n u_n - \alpha_n \beta_n(u_n - u_{n-1}) - q^*\|^2 \\ &= \|(1 - \beta_n)(u_n - q^*) + (1 - \beta_n)\alpha_n(u_n - u_{n-1}) - \beta_n q^*\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(1 - \beta_n)(u_n - q^*) + (1 - \beta_n)\alpha_n(u_n - u_{n-1})\|^2 + 2\beta_n \langle -q^*, \eta_n - q^* \rangle \\ &= (1 - \beta_n)^2 \|u_n - q^*\|^2 + (1 - \beta_n)^2 \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_n(1 - \beta_n)^2 \|u_n - q^*\| \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + 2\beta_n \langle -q^*, \eta_n - u_{n+1} \rangle + 2\beta_n \langle -q^*, u_{n+1} - q^* \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - q^*\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|^2 + 2\alpha_n(1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - q^*\| \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \\ &\quad + 2\beta_n \|q^*\| \|\eta_n - u_{n+1}\| + 2\beta_n \langle -q^*, u_{n+1} - q^* \rangle \\ &= (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - q^*\|^2 + \beta_n \left[\alpha_n \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - q^*\| \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + 2 \|q^*\| \|\eta_n - u_{n+1}\| + 2 \langle q^*, q^* - u_{n+1} \rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

From expressions (16) and (49), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - q^*\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - q^*\|^2 + \beta_n \left[\alpha_n \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(1 - \beta_n) \|u_n - q^*\| \frac{\alpha_n}{\beta_n} \|u_n - u_{n-1}\| + 2 \|q^*\| \|\eta_n - u_{n+1}\| + 2 \langle q^*, q^* - u_{n+1} \rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

By using expressions (26), (48), (50) and Lemma 2.2 together implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_n - q^*\| = 0$.

Case 2: Consider that there exists a subsequence $\{n_i\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$\|u_{n_i} - q^*\| \leq \|u_{n_{i+1}} - q^*\|, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By using Lemma 2.3, there exists a sequence $\{m_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ as $\{m_k\} \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$\|u_{m_k} - q^*\| \leq \|u_{m_{k+1}} - q^*\| \text{ and } \|u_k - q^*\| \leq \|u_{m_{k+1}} - q^*\|, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (51)$$

Similar to Case 1, the relation (24) implies that

$$\left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_{m_k}}{\zeta_{m_{k+1}}}\right) \|\eta_{m_k} - v_{m_k}\|^2 + \left(1 - \frac{\mu\zeta_{m_k}}{\zeta_{m_{k+1}}}\right) \|u_{m_{k+1}} - v_{m_k}\|^2 \leq \|u_{m_k} - q^*\|^2 + \beta_{m_k} M_2 - \|u_{m_{k+1}} - q^*\|^2. \quad (52)$$

Due to $\beta_{m_k} \rightarrow 0$, we can conclude the following:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|\eta_{m_k} - v_{m_k}\| = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{m_{k+1}} - v_{m_k}\| = 0. \quad (53)$$

The above implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{m_{k+1}} - \eta_{m_k}\| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{m_{k+1}} - v_{m_k}\| + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|v_{m_k} - \eta_{m_k}\| = 0. \quad (54)$$

Next, we have to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_{m_k} - u_{m_k}\| &= \|u_{m_k} + \alpha_{m_k}(u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}) - \beta_{m_k}[u_{m_k} + \alpha_{m_k}(u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}})] - u_{m_k}\| \\ &\leq \alpha_{m_k} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| + \beta_{m_k} \|u_{m_k}\| + \alpha_{m_k} \beta_{m_k} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| \\ &= \beta_{m_k} \frac{\alpha_{m_k}}{\beta_{m_k}} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| + \beta_{m_k} \|u_{m_k}\| + \beta_{m_k}^2 \frac{\alpha_{m_k}}{\beta_{m_k}} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

This leads that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k+1}}\| \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{m_k} - \eta_{m_k}\| + \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \|\eta_{m_k} - u_{m_{k+1}}\| = 0. \quad (56)$$

By using the same argument as in Case 1, we have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \langle q^*, q^* - u_{m_{k+1}} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (57)$$

Combining expressions (50) and (51), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{m_{k+1}} - q^*\|^2 &\leq (1 - \beta_{m_k}) \|u_{m_k} - q^*\|^2 + \beta_{m_k} \left[\alpha_{m_k} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| \frac{\alpha_{m_k}}{\beta_{m_k}} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(1 - \beta_{m_k}) \|u_{m_k} - q^*\| \frac{\alpha_{m_k}}{\beta_{m_k}} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| + 2 \|q^*\| \|\eta_{m_k} - u_{m_{k+1}}\| + 2 \langle q^*, q^* - u_{m_{k+1}} \rangle \right] \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_{m_k}) \|u_{m_{k+1}} - q^*\|^2 + \beta_{m_k} \left[\alpha_{m_k} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| \frac{\alpha_{m_k}}{\beta_{m_k}} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(1 - \beta_{m_k}) \|u_{m_k} - q^*\| \frac{\alpha_{m_k}}{\beta_{m_k}} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| + 2 \|q^*\| \|\eta_{m_k} - u_{m_{k+1}}\| + 2 \langle q^*, q^* - u_{m_{k+1}} \rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{m_{k+1}} - q^*\|^2 &\leq \left[\alpha_{m_k} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| \frac{\alpha_{m_k}}{\beta_{m_k}} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2(1 - \beta_{m_k}) \|u_{m_k} - q^*\| \frac{\alpha_{m_k}}{\beta_{m_k}} \|u_{m_k} - u_{m_{k-1}}\| + 2 \|q^*\| \|\eta_{m_k} - u_{m_{k+1}}\| + 2 \langle q^*, q^* - u_{m_{k+1}} \rangle \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Since $\beta_{m_k} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|u_{m_k} - q^*\|$ is a bounded, (57) and (59) imply that

$$\|u_{m_{k+1}} - q^*\|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (60)$$

This means that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_k - q^*\|^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_{m_{k+1}} - q^*\|^2 \leq 0. \quad (61)$$

As a consequence $u_n \rightarrow q^*$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

4 Numerical illustrations

This section examines four numerical experiments to show the efficacy of the proposed algorithms. Any of these numerical experiments provide a detailed understanding of how better control parameters can be chosen. Some of them show the advantages of the proposed algorithms compared to the existing ones in the literature.

Example 4.1. First consider the HpHard problem that is considered from [36]. Let $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be an operator which is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}(u) = Mu + q,$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$M = AA^T + B + D,$$

where A is an $N \times N$ matrix, B is an $N \times N$ skew-symmetric matrix and D is an $N \times N$ positive definite diagonal matrix. The set $\mathcal{K}$ is taken in the following way:

$$\mathcal{K} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^N : -10 \leq u_i \leq 10\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{A}$ is monotone and Lipschitz continuous through $L = \|M\|$. The control condition is taken as follows:

- (i) Algorithm 1 in [30] (shortly, Alg.1): $\zeta_0 = 0.20$, $\mu = 0.55$.
- (ii) Algorithm 2 in [30] (shortly, Alg.2): $\zeta_0 = 0.20$, $\mu = 0.55$, $\phi_n = \frac{1}{100(n+2)}$.
- (iii) Algorithm 1 (shortly, M.Alg.1): $\zeta_0 = 0.20$, $\mu = 0.55$, $\alpha = 0.66$, $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}$, $\beta_n = \frac{1}{100(n+2)}$.

During this experiment, the initial point is $u_0 = u_1 = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$ and $D_n = \|\eta_n - v_n\| \leq 10^{-4}$. The numerical results of these algorithms are shown in Figures 1–4 (Table 1).

Table 1: Numerical data for Figures 1–4

Algo.name N	Algorithm 1 in [30]		Algorithm 2 in [30]		Algorithm 1	
	iter.	time	iter.	time	iter.	time
5	41	0.223457	55	0.279106	14	0.079261
10	56	0.251080	60	0.236725	23	0.094484
20	390	2.016970	260	1.426439	64	0.516909
50	539	3.373166	607	5.546712	149	0.660777




Figure 1: Numerical comparison of Algorithm 1 with Algorithm 1 in [30] and Algorithm 2 in [30] taking $N = 5$.




Figure 2: Numerical comparison of Algorithm 1 with Algorithm 1 in [30] and Algorithm 2 in [30] taking $N = 10$.




Figure 3: Numerical comparison of Algorithm 1 with Algorithm 1 in [30] and Algorithm 2 in [30] taking $N = 20$.




Figure 4: Numerical comparison of Algorithm 1 with Algorithm 1 in [30] and Algorithm 2 in [30] taking $N = 50$.

Example 4.2. For second example, consider the quadratic fractional programming problem in the following form [37]:

$$\begin{cases} \min f(u) = \frac{u^T Q u + a^T u + a_0}{b^T u + b_0}, \\ \text{subject to } u \in \mathcal{K} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^4 : b^T u + b_0 > 0\}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a_0 = -2, \quad \text{and} \quad b_0 = 4.$$

It is easy to verify that Q is symmetric and positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^4$ and consequently f is pseudo-convex on $\mathcal{K}$. Hence, ∇f is pseudo-monotone. Using the quotient rule, we obtain

$$\nabla f(u) = \frac{(b^T u + b_0)(2Qu + a) - b(u^T Q + a^T u + a_0)}{(b^T u + b_0)^2}. \quad (62)$$

In this point of view, we can set $\mathcal{A} = \nabla f$ in Theorem 3.3. We minimize f over $\mathcal{K} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^4 : 1 \leq u_i \leq 10, i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. This problem has a unique solution $q^* = (1, 1, 1, 1)^T \in \mathcal{K}$. The control condition is taken as follows:

- (i) Algorithm 1 in [30] (shortly, Alg.1): $\zeta_0 = 0.25, \mu = 0.35$.
- (ii) Algorithm 2 in [30] (shortly, Alg.2): $\zeta_0 = 0.25, \mu = 0.35, \phi_n = \frac{1}{100(n+2)}$.
- (iii) Algorithm 1 (shortly, M.Alg.1): $\zeta_0 = 0.25, \mu = 0.35, \alpha = 0.66, \varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}, \beta_n = \frac{1}{(n+2)}$.

During this experiment, the initial points are different and $D_n = \|\eta_n - v_n\| \leq 10^{-4}$. The numerical results of these algorithms are shown in Tables 2–10.

Table 2: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 1 in [30] and $u_0 = u_1 = [10, 10, 10, 10]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	9.98361147989035	9.92819343243907	9.97188534311147	9.81908863135204
2	9.96559296019223	9.85721648952338	9.94292447196205	9.64014655402360
3	9.94598894074751	9.78705715319703	9.91314087916256	9.46314531337088
4	9.92484233950876	9.71770355613636	9.88255724745141	9.28805739204770
5	9.90219457402178	9.64914397858768	9.85119549140577	9.11485616807417
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
201	1.00047864580961	0.999581568887345	1.05015863755837	0.999952167633982
202	1.00047026644202	0.999595088981525	1.02743350011189	0.999953578214968
203	1.00046172864065	0.999608271436251	1.00540907952986	0.999954965358929
204	1.00012975635753	0.999877171237029	1.00019733298933	0.999962898727956
205	1.00001546608645	0.999987811938773	1.00001254139761	0.999995569409186
CPU time in seconds 0.855695				

Table 3: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 2 in [30] and $u_0 = u_1 = [10, 10, 10, 10]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	9.98722793812391	9.94270273066136	9.97776276401056	9.85566851062606
2	9.97338838960988	9.88584013385139	9.95494507925562	9.71235360979276
3	9.95851156075490	9.82945581779816	9.93157589425467	9.57016568769825
4	9.94262261197368	9.77356365065526	9.90767370103466	9.42914060818516
5	9.92574466957898	9.71816759658748	9.88325332674730	9.28928956648529
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
251	1.00030003847792	0.999736275445436	1.05436872725549	0.999970209316682
252	1.00029584155592	0.999743150158623	1.03596626487418	0.999970912238813
253	1.00029157082422	0.999749876898552	1.01801890409748	0.999971605820891
254	1.00028691694860	0.999756697601778	1.00053744537069	0.999972241456658
255	1.00002587972667	0.999978288670545	1.00002339132901	0.999992867605767
CPU time in seconds 1.249835				

Table 4: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 1 and $u_0 = u_1 = [10, 10, 10, 10]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	9.37670552615082	9.10443831204158	9.36964477485132	7.69617180087272
2	8.52638444520784	8.23128879399038	8.59942980024287	5.49342285882117
3	7.58413493042808	7.48686319918374	7.80372663027284	3.69657294857490
4	6.57691856280682	6.81862432209299	6.98748408521933	2.20234830742012
5	5.57239744678987	6.15234000819178	6.18334105148049	1.00000000023325
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
61	1.00001337267023	0.999994469763627	1.00000204591750	0.999995725911049
62	1.00001315800345	0.999994559118552	1.00000201212000	0.999995794483464
63	1.00001295008998	0.999994645650194	1.00000197941728	0.999995860897291
64	1.00001274863514	0.999994729478648	1.00000194775792	0.999995925248847
65	1.00001255335012	0.999994810724267	1.00000191709497	0.999995987630650
CPU time in seconds 0.314926				

Table 5: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 1 in [30] and $u_0 = u_1 = [10, 20, 30, 40]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	9.78664139597796	9.98228168073093	9.87885904329583	10.0892362484029
2	9.77332934751711	9.90702272489978	9.85225062712764	9.90395699577937
3	9.75825697187861	9.83416650992724	9.82474676153713	9.72192297304630
4	9.74150081629604	9.76222498224926	9.79640746406505	9.54191824999558
5	9.72310030216692	9.69112689206027	9.76721402065162	9.36390890485064
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
197	1.00048025798169	0.999578895451456	1.05467043404065	0.999951889957855
198	1.00047191338477	0.999592479755323	1.03180677371631	0.999953305260853
199	1.00046340300415	0.999605728706010	1.00964711683154	0.999954696790168
200	1.00020595114443	0.999806645776126	1.00033555706235	0.999949570767266
201	1.00002447915305	0.999980397285792	1.00002042478806	0.999993060869452
CPU time in seconds	0.881941			

Table 6: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 2 in [30] and $u_0 = u_1 = [10, 20, 30, 40]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	9.83025060597279	10.0358052147562	10.0034760237284	10.2207136435240
2	9.81992710006697	9.97710497209799	9.98219090107187	10.0007357121574
3	9.80848143600201	9.91890607188431	9.96030964532451	9.85485114455071
4	9.78233470337380	9.80406450245295	9.91483504597404	9.56675568183706
5	9.76768277826138	9.74742537160893	9.89127177156160	9.42455480338020
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
242	1.00029928444065	0.999737529401567	1.05100492547889	0.999970337183295
243	1.00029507461118	0.999744379644890	1.03268544459699	0.999971038422410
244	1.00029079252463	0.999751081711158	1.01481953661443	0.999971730317870
245	1.00023582350263	0.999792488973834	1.00039177564360	0.999966689624691
246	1.00002121894907	0.999982547402636	1.00001822632328	0.999994139100956
CPU time in seconds	1.189327			

Table 7: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 1 and $u_0 = u_1 = [10, 20, 30, 40]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	6.67509751978401	9.87241104722208	8.35640921356371	11.8247271582227
2	6.43630940705222	8.66032301948886	7.77319817387846	8.88400040091734
3	5.94458706274812	7.60686837201340	7.17867219777068	6.42404535927948
4	5.24960461466410	6.68896087204211	6.48897590605557	4.37736060600273
5	4.41658766198459	5.87872668632781	5.73342763899655	2.70108842826606
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
55	1.00001098233484	0.999995701995743	1.00000127186919	0.999996467970852
56	1.00001080643637	0.999995771227658	1.00000125097444	0.999996524518845
57	1.00001063608454	0.999995838264122	1.00000123076045	0.999996579284439
58	1.00001047102102	0.999995903207959	1.00000121119448	0.999996632350608
59	1.00001031100328	0.999995966155667	1.00000119224589	0.999996683795258
CPU time in seconds	0.263761			

Table 8: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 1 in [30] and $u_0 = u_1 = [20, -20, 20, -20]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	9.43637888611869	0.618641745108960	9.69030238747761	0.941343723156194
2	9.33347176555781	1.00048608755193	9.60042497443019	0.998236042635461
3	9.23071813735838	1.08107393627969	9.51088663606224	1.00000006665870
4	9.12812589346156	1.15965756600495	9.42168479550455	1.00000007856569
5	9.02570718544946	1.23625759975003	9.33282259887709	1.00000006494615
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
142	1.00048363745252	0.999573210882307	1.06428375964232	0.999951300959709
143	1.00047536747374	0.999586931862881	1.04112574964123	0.999952725950025
144	1.00046692302671	0.999600317222453	1.01867841478562	0.999954127429914
145	1.00037962363168	0.999667326588165	1.00061920024033	0.999946401991037
146	1.00004244986050	0.999965200722495	1.00003621655791	0.999988355488326
CPU time in seconds	0.539990			

Table 9: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 2 in [30] and $u_0 = u_1 = [20, -20, 20, -20]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	9.60181164686455	0.594020576572148	9.80334231814436	0.849825795779310
2	9.51962929953982	0.998933043283026	9.73167079074873	0.998926526320852
3	9.43746967852944	1.06410902526139	9.66014764334785	0.999997316486094
4	9.35536521846522	1.12804558949596	9.58879942367075	0.999999994833832
5	9.27333589349051	1.19074084404862	9.51763991225471	1.00000000015374
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
181	1.00029611652815	0.999742707382947	1.03714858016492	0.999970866828849
182	1.00029185000921	0.999749442874438	1.01917216369700	0.999971561005902
183	1.00028752889062	0.999756054384634	1.00164302685500	0.999972245807354
184	1.00004073447076	0.999962515806045	1.00005288902746	0.999987696986777
185	1.00000375548836	0.999997097825945	1.00000290174109	0.999998920572749
CPU time in seconds	0.846270			

Table 10: Example 4.2: Numerical study of Algorithm 1 and $u_0 = u_1 = [20, -20, 20, -20]^T$

Iter (n)	u_1	u_2	u_3	u_4
1	5.91302584242504	- 2.53013202319150	7.88340509066295	0.0494017361391670
2	5.1151304855251	1.05167987250724	7.12678420126625	0.828266207803134
3	4.44175286252904	1.44560459254135	6.47786278982089	1.00000000063044
4	3.78267512857499	1.65777433889948	5.83990979629541	1.00000000152068
5	3.17322385256513	1.76144714332220	5.24349607931747	1.00000000031145
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
49	1.00001367166987	0.999994310572133	1.00000215132574	0.999995633661616
50	1.00001345209473	0.999994402418620	1.00000211630632	0.999995703696399
51	1.00001323958262	0.999994491393242	1.00000208195699	0.999995771535743
52	1.00001303361761	0.999994577637339	1.00000204866350	0.999995837280664
53	1.00001283392546	0.999994661245350	1.00000201641107	0.999995901021640
CPU time in seconds	0.315846			

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank the referees and guest editor Prof. Poom Kumam for reading this paper carefully, providing valuable suggestions, comments and pointing out a minor errors in the original version of this paper. N. Pholasa was financially supported by University of Phayao.

Conflict of interest: Authors state no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] G. Stampacchia, *Formes bilinéaires coercitives sur les ensembles convexes*, Académie des Sciences de Paris **258** (1964), 4413–4416.
- [2] I. V. Konnov, *On systems of variational inequalities*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **41** (1997), 77–86.
- [3] G. Kassay, J. Kolumbán, and Z. Páles, *On Nash stationary points*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **54** (1999), no. 3–4, 267–279.
- [4] G. Kassay, J. Kolumbán, and Z. Páles, *Factorization of minty and stampacchia variational inequality systems*, European J. Oper. Res. **143** (2002), no. 2, 377–389.
- [5] D. Kinderlehrer and G. Stampacchia, *An Introduction to Variational Inequalities and Their Applications*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, vol. 31, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2000.
- [6] I. Konnov, *Equilibrium Models and Variational Inequalities*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 210, Elsevier B.V., Amsterdam, 2007.
- [7] C. M. Elliott, *Variational and quasivariational inequalities: Applications to free-boundary problems (Claudio Baiocchi and António Capelo)*, SIAM Rev. **29** (1987), no. 2, 314–315.
- [8] A. Nagurney, *Network Economics: A Variational Inequality Approach*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [9] W. Takahashi, *Introduction to Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2009.
- [10] H. U. Rehman, D. Gopal, and P. Kumam, *Generalizations of Darboas fixed point theorem for new condensing operators with application to a functional integral*, Demonstr. Math. **52** (2019), no. 1, 166–182.
- [11] H. U. Rehman, P. Kumam, Y. J. Cho, and P. Yordsorn, *Weak convergence of explicit extragradient algorithms for solving equilibrium problems*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2019** (2019), 282, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2233-1>.
- [12] H. U. Rehman, P. Kumam, A. B. Abubakar, and Y. J. Cho, *The extragradient algorithm with inertial effects extended to equilibrium problems*, Comput. Appl. Math. **39** (2020), no. 2, 100, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-020-1093-0>.
- [13] G. Korpelevich, *The extragradient method for finding saddle points and other problems*, Ekonom. i Mat. Metody **12** (1976), no. 4, 747–756.
- [14] M. A. Noor, *Some iterative methods for nonconvex variational inequalities*, Math. Comput. Modelling **54** (2010), no. 11–12, 97–108.
- [15] Y. Censor, A. Gibali, and S. Reich, *The subgradient extragradient method for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert space*, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **148** (2010), no. 2, 318–335.
- [16] Y. Censor, A. Gibali, and S. Reich, *Extensions of Korpelevich extragradient method for the variational inequality problem in euclidean space*, Optimization **61** (2012), no. 9, 1119–1132.
- [17] Y. V. Malitsky and V. V. Semenov, *An extragradient algorithm for monotone variational inequalities*, Cybernet. Systems Anal. **50** (2014), no. 2, 271–277.
- [18] P. Tseng, *A modified forward-backward splitting method for maximal monotone mappings*, SIAM J. Control Optim. **38** (2000), no. 2, 431–446.
- [19] A. Moudafi, *Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **241** (2000), no. 1, 46–55.
- [20] L. Zhang, C. Fang, and S. Chen, *An inertial subgradient-type method for solving single-valued variational inequalities and fixed point problems*, Numer. Algorithms **79** (2018), no. 3, 941–956.
- [21] A. N. Iusem and B. F. Svaiter, *A variant of Korpelevich's method for variational inequalities with a new search strategy*, Optimization **42** (1997), no. 4, 309–321.
- [22] D. V. Thong and D. V. Hieu, *Modified subgradient extragradient method for variational inequality problems*, Numer. Algorithms **79** (2018), no. 2, 597–610.
- [23] D. V. Thong and D. V. Hieu, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for variational inequality problems*, Numer. Algorithms **78** (2018), no. 4, 1045–1060.
- [24] H. U. Rehman, N. Pakkaranang, A. Hussain, and N. Wairojjana, *A modified extra-gradient method for a family of strongly pseudomonotone equilibrium problems in real Hilbert spaces*, J. Math. Comput. Sci. **22** (2021), no. 1, 38–48.
- [25] N. Wairojjana, H. U. Rehman, I. K. Argyros, and N. Pakkaranang, *An accelerated extragradient method for solving pseudomonotone equilibrium problems with applications*, Axioms **9** (2020), no. 3, 99, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms9030099>.
- [26] N. Wairojjana, H. U. Rehman, M. D. la Sen, N. Pakkaranang, *A general inertial projection-type algorithm for solving equilibrium problem in Hilbert spaces with applications in fixed-point problems*, Axioms **9** (2020), no. 3, 101, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms9030101>.

- [27] H. U. Rehman, N. Pakkaranang, P. Kumam, and Y. J. Cho, *Modified subgradient extragradient method for a family of pseudomonotone equilibrium problems in real a Hilbert space*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **21** (2020), no. 9, 2011–2025.
- [28] H. U. Rehman, P. Kumam, Q. L. Dong, and Y. J. Cho, *A modified self-adaptive extragradient method for pseudomonotone equilibrium problem in a real Hilbert space with applications*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **44** (2021), no. 5, 3527–3547.
- [29] A. S. Antipin, *On a method for convex programs using a symmetrical modification of the Lagrange function*, Ekonomika i Matem. Metody **12** (1976), no. 3, 1164–1173.
- [30] J. Yang, H. Liu, and Z. Liu, *Modified subgradient extragradient algorithms for solving monotone variational inequalities*, Optimization **67** (2018), no. 12, 2247–2258.
- [31] B. Polyak, *Some methods of speeding up the convergence of iteration methods*, U.S.S.R. Comput. Math. Math. Phys. **4** (1964), no. 5, 1–17.
- [32] H. H. Bauschke and P. L. Combettes, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [33] H. K. Xu, *Another control condition in an iterative method for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **65** (2002), no. 1, 109–113.
- [34] P. E. Maingé, *Strong convergence of projected subgradient methods for nonsmooth and nonstrictly convex minimization*, Set-Valued Anal. **16** (2008), no. 7–8, 899–912.
- [35] W. Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
- [36] P. T. Harker and J. S. Pang, *A damped-Newton method for the linear complementarity problem*, in: E. L. Allgower, K. Georg (eds.), Computational Solution of Nonlinear Systems of Equations: AMS Lectures on Applied Mathematics, vol. 26, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 265–284.
- [37] X. Hu and J. Wang, *Solving pseudomonotone variational inequalities and pseudoconvex optimization problems using the projection neural network*, IEEE Trans. Neural Networks **17** (2006), no. 6, 1487–1499.